

A two player zerosum game where one player
observes a Brownian motion.

common work with Fabien Gensbittel (TSE Toulouse)

Erice, May 2017

Introduction : Idea of the game

- $0 \leq t \leq T$,
 $m \in \mathcal{P}_2 = \{m \text{ prob. on } \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ s.t. } \int |x|^2 m(dx) < \infty\}$,
- $(B_s^{t,m})_{s \in [t,T]}$ \mathbb{R}^d -valued Brownian motion, such that $\mathcal{L}(B_t^{t,m}) = m$,
- Controls: $(u_s, v_s)_{s \in [t,T]} \rightarrow U \times V$ compact, metric,
- Payoff: $J(t, m, u., v.) = E \left[\int_t^T f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s) ds \right]$,
for f sufficiently regular.

Introduction : Idea of the game

- $0 \leq t \leq T$,
 $m \in \mathcal{P}_2 = \{m \text{ prob. on } \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ s.t. } \int |x|^2 m(dx) < \infty\}$,
- $(B_s^{t,m})_{s \in [t,T]}$ \mathbb{R}^d -valued Brownian motion, such that
 $\mathcal{L}(B_t^{t,m}) = m$,
- Controls: $(u_s, v_s)_{s \in [t,T]} \rightarrow U \times V$ compact, metric,
- Payoff: $J(t, m, u., v.) = E \left[\int_t^T f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s) ds \right]$,
for f sufficiently regular.

Zero-sum game with asymmetric information:

- Player 1 plays (u_s) , tries to minimize the payoff
 $J(t, m, u., v.)$,
- player 2 plays (v_s) , tries to maximize this payoff,

Introduction : Idea of the game

- $0 \leq t \leq T$,
 $m \in \mathcal{P}_2 = \{m \text{ prob. on } \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ s.t. } \int |x|^2 m(dx) < \infty\}$,
- $(B_s^{t,m})_{s \in [t,T]}$ \mathbb{R}^d -valued Brownian motion, such that
 $\mathcal{L}(B_t^{t,m}) = m$,
- Controls: $(u_s, v_s)_{s \in [t,T]} \rightarrow U \times V$ compact, metric,
- Payoff: $J(t, m, u., v.) = E \left[\int_t^T f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s) ds \right]$,
for f sufficiently regular.

Zero-sum game with asymmetric information:

- Player 1 plays (u_s) , tries to minimize the payoff
 $J(t, m, u., v.)$,
- player 2 plays (v_s) , tries to maximize this payoff,
- both players observe the action of their opponent, not the payoff,
- player 1 observes the Brownian motion,
player 2 not.

$(B_s^{t,m})$ Brownian motion, such that $\mathcal{L}(B_t^{t,m}) = m$,

Payoff: $J(t, m, u., v.) = E \left[\int_t^T f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s) ds \right]$

Player 1 : (u_s) , minimize the payoff, player 2: (v_s) , maximize the payoff,

player 1 observes the Brownian motion, Player 2 not.

Questions:

- 1) Is it possible to formalize the game in order to have a **value** ?
→ find adapted sets of strategies such that

$$\inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} \sup_{\beta} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) = \sup_{\beta} \inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) ?$$

$(B_s^{t,m})$ Brownian motion, such that $\mathcal{L}(B_t^{t,m}) = m$,

Payoff: $J(t, m, u., v.) = E \left[\int_t^T f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s) ds \right]$

Player 1 : (u_s) , minimize the payoff, player 2: (v_s) , maximize the payoff,

player 1 observes the Brownian motion, Player 2 not.

Questions:

- 1) Is it possible to formalize the game in order to have a **value** ?
→ find adapted sets of strategies such that

$$\inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} \sup_{\beta} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) = \sup_{\beta} \inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) ?$$

- 2) If yes, do we have a **characterization** of the value in terms of a PDE ?

$(B_s^{t,m})$ Brownian motion, such that $\mathcal{L}(B_t^{t,m}) = m$,

Payoff: $J(t, m, u., v.) = E \left[\int_t^T f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s) ds \right]$

Player 1 : (u_s) , minimize the payoff, player 2: (v_s) , maximize the payoff,

player 1 observes the Brownian motion, Player 2 not.

Questions:

- 1) Is it possible to formalize the game in order to have a **value** ?
→ find adapted sets of strategies such that

$$\inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} \sup_{\beta} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) = \sup_{\beta} \inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) ?$$

Answer to 1): ???

$(B_s^{t,m})$ Brownian motion, such that $\mathcal{L}(B_t^{t,m}) = m$,

Payoff: $J(t, m, u, v) = E \left[\int_t^T f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s) ds \right]$

Player 1 : (u_s) , minimize the payoff, player 2: (v_s) , maximize the payoff,

player 1 observes the Brownian motion, Player 2 not.

Questions:

- 1) Is it possible to formalize the game in order to have a **value** ?
→ find adapted sets of strategies such that

$$\inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} \sup_{\beta} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) = \sup_{\beta} \inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) ?$$

Answer to 1): ???

→ **discrete time games**,

payoff: $E[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k) f(t_k, B_{t_k}, u_k, v_k)],$
for $t = t_0 < \dots < t_N = T,$

then let $\sup_k |t_k - t_{k-1}| \rightarrow 0.$

$(B_s^{t,m})$ Brownian motion, such that $\mathcal{L}(B_t^{t,m}) = m$,

Payoff: $J(t, m, u, v) = E \left[\int_t^T f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s) ds \right]$

Player 1 : (u_s) , minimize the payoff, player 2: (v_s) , maximize the payoff,
player 1 observes the Brownian motion, Player 2 not.

Questions:

1) Is it possible to formalize the game in order to have a **value** ?
→ find adapted sets of strategies such that

$$\inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} \sup_{\beta} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) = \sup_{\beta} \inf_{\alpha(B^{t,m})} J(t, m, \alpha, \beta) ?$$

Answer to 1): ???

→ **discrete time games**,

payoff: $E[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k) f(t_k, B_{t_k}, u_k, v_k)]$,
for $t = t_0 < \dots < t_N = T$,

then let $\sup_k |t_k - t_{k-1}| \rightarrow 0$.

Remark: Approach used in Cardaliaguet- R.-Rosenberg-Vieille (2016), Gensbittel (2016), see also Sorin (2017).

A discrete time game with vanishing stage duration

Fix $(t, m) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2$.

For any partition $\pi = \{t = t_1 < \dots < t_N = T\}$, we define a **N -stage game $\Gamma_\pi(t, m)$** :

- at each stage $k \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$,
 - player 1 observe $B_{t_k}^{t, m}$
 - both players choose simultaneously a pair of controls $(u_k, v_k) \in U \times V$,
 - the actions are observed after each stage
 - stage payoff : $f(t_k, B_{t_k}, u_k, v_k)$ (not observed)
- total expected payoff :

$$E \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k) f(t_k, B_{t_k}, u_k, v_k) \right].$$

A discrete time game with vanishing stage duration

Fix $(t, m) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2$.

For any partition $\pi = \{t = t_1 < \dots < t_N = T\}$, we define a **N -stage game $\Gamma_\pi(t, m)$** :

- at each stage $k \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$,
 - player 1 observe $B_{t_k}^{t, m}$
 - both players choose simultaneously a pair of controls $(u_k, v_k) \in U \times V$,
 - the actions are observed after each stage
 - stage payoff : $f(t_k, B_{t_k}, u_k, v_k)$ (not observed)
- total expected payoff :

$$E \left[\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (t_{k+1} - t_k) f(t_k, B_{t_k}, u_k, v_k) \right].$$

→ For each π , the game $\Gamma_\pi(t, m)$ has a value $V_\pi(t, m)$.

Theorem: $V_\pi(t, m)$ converges (up to a subsequence) when $|\pi| \searrow 0$.

An alternative formulation

Let $\mathcal{M}(t, m)$ be the set of processes $(M_s)_{t \leq s \leq T}$ with values in \mathcal{P}_2 , such that,

for all $s \in [t, T]$, $M_s = \mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m} | \mathcal{F}_s)$,

for $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \in [t, T]}$ filtration such that $(B_s^{t,m})$ is still a $(\sigma(B_r^{t,m}, t \leq r \leq s) \vee \mathcal{F}_s)$ -Brownian motion.

Interpretation: if (\mathcal{F}_s) is generated by a control of player 1 in continuous time, M_s is the belief of player 2 on $B_s^{t,m}$.

An alternative formulation

Let $\mathcal{M}(t, m)$ be the set of processes $(M_s)_{t \leq s \leq T}$ with values in \mathcal{P}_2 , such that,

for all $s \in [t, T]$, $M_s = \mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m} | \mathcal{F}_s)$,

for $(\mathcal{F}_s)_{s \in [t, T]}$ filtration such that $(B_s^{t,m})$ is still a $(\sigma(B_r^{t,m}, t \leq r \leq s) \vee \mathcal{F}_s)$ -Brownian motion.

Interpretation: if (\mathcal{F}_s) is generated by a control of player 1 in continuous time, M_s is the belief of player 2 on $B_s^{t,m}$.

Examples:

- (\mathcal{F}_s) is trivial : $\mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m} | \mathcal{F}_s) = \mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m})$,
- $(B_s^{t,m})$ is adapted to (\mathcal{F}_s) : $\mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m} | \mathcal{F}_s) = \delta_{B_s^{t,m}}$.

An alternative formulation

$$\mathcal{M}(t, m) = \{(M_s)_{t \leq s \leq T} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2, M_s = \mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m} | \mathcal{F}_s), (\mathcal{F}_s) \text{ convenient}\}.$$

Suppose that **Isaac's assumption** holds :
for all $(t, m) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2$,

$$\inf_{u \in U} \sup_{v \in V} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, u, v) m(dx) = \sup_{v \in V} \inf_{u \in U} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, u, v) m(dx) := H(t, m).$$

Set $V(t, m) := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}(t, m)} E[\int_t^T H(s, M_s) ds]$.

An alternative formulation

$$\mathcal{M}(t, m) = \{(M_s)_{t \leq s \leq T} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2, M_s = \mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m} | \mathcal{F}_s), (\mathcal{F}_s) \text{ convenient}\}.$$

Suppose that **Isaac's assumption** holds :
for all $(t, m) \in [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2$,

$$\inf_{u \in U} \sup_{v \in V} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, u, v) m(dx) = \sup_{v \in V} \inf_{u \in U} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, u, v) m(dx) := H(t, m).$$

Set $V(t, m) := \inf_{M \in \mathcal{M}(t, m)} E[\int_t^T H(s, M_s) ds]$.

Theorem: For all (t, m) ,

$$V(t, m) = \lim_{|\pi| \searrow 0} V_\pi(t, m).$$

Characterization of the value : Derivative in the space of probability measures

Proposition [Lyons 201?], [Cardaliaguet-Delarue-Lasry-Lyons 2015]:

Let $L_d^2 = \{X \text{ random variables } \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, E[|X|^2] < \infty\}$.

For $U : \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define $\mathcal{U} : L_d^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, X \mapsto \mathcal{U}(X) := U(\mathcal{L}(X))$.

Characterization of the value : Derivative in the space of probability measures

Proposition [Lyons 201?], [Cardaliaguet-Delarue-Lasry-Lyons 2015]:

Let $L_d^2 = \{X \text{ random variables } \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, E[|X|^2] < \infty\}$.

For $U : \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, define $\mathcal{U} : L_d^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, X \mapsto \mathcal{U}(X) := U(\mathcal{L}(X))$.

Then (under convenient assumptions), there exists $D_m U : \mathcal{P}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ such that, for all $X, Y \in L_d^2$,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{U}(X + hY) - \mathcal{U}(X)}{h} = E[D_m U(\mathcal{L}(X), Y)Y].$$

Characterization : the equation

payoff $J(t, m, u, v) = \int_t^T E[f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s)] ds$.

Hamiltonian $H(t, m) := \inf_u \sup_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, u, v) m(dx)$.

For $U : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U(t, m) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_x [D_m U](t, m, x) m(dx) + H(t, m) = 0, \\ U(T, m) = 0, \quad m \in \mathcal{P}_2, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Characterization : the equation

payoff $J(t, m, u, v) = \int_t^T E[f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s)] ds$.

Hamiltonian $H(t, m) := \inf_u \sup_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, u, v) m(dx)$.

For $U : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U(t, m) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_x [D_m U](t, m, x) m(dx) + H(t, m) = 0, \\ U(T, m) = 0, \quad m \in \mathcal{P}_2, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Proposition: Set $p_s^{t,m} := \mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m})$. Suppose that H is sufficiently smooth. Then (1) has a unique regular solution:

$$U_0(t, m) := \int_t^T H(r, p_r^{t,m}) dr.$$

Characterization : the equation

payoff $J(t, m, u, v) = \int_t^T E[f(s, B_s^{t,m}, u_s, v_s)] ds$.

Hamiltonian $H(t, m) := \inf_u \sup_v \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, u, v) m(dx)$.

For $U : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, consider the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U(t, m) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}_x [D_m U](t, m, x) m(dx) + H(t, m) = 0, \\ U(T, m) = 0, \quad m \in \mathcal{P}_2, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Proposition: Set $p_s^{t,m} := \mathcal{L}(B_s^{t,m})$. Suppose that H is sufficiently smooth. Then (1) has a unique regular solution:

$$U_0(t, m) := \int_t^T H(r, p_r^{t,m}) dr.$$

Remark: U_0 is the value of a continuous time game where the Brownian motion is observed by nobody.

Characterization of the value

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t U(t, m) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}[D_m U](t, m, x) m(dx) + H(t, m) = 0, \\ U(T, m) = 0, \quad m \in \mathcal{P}_2. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Definition: We call a **subsolution** of (1) a map $U : [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $(t, m) \in [0, T) \times \mathcal{P}_2$, and for all φ sufficiently smooth, such that $\varphi - U$ has a minimum at (t, m) ,

$$\partial_t \varphi(t, m) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{div}[D_m \varphi](t, m, x) m(dx) + H(t, m) \geq 0.$$

Theorem: The value function V is the largest bounded, continuous subsolution of (1), which is convex in m and satisfies the terminal condition $V(T, \cdot) = 0$.

Open questions and perspectives

- Characterization of V as the solution of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation
- Observation by only the first Player of a controlled diffusion
- Does the continuous time game have a value ?

Thank you for your attention!