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- A loop is an algebraic structure that has an identity element and division, but is not necessarily associative.
- Smooth loops are a direct generalization of Lie groups. A key example of a smooth loop that is not a Lie group is the loop of unit octonions.
- It is known that some properties of $G_{2}$-structures on 7 -manifolds can be expressed in terms of an octonion bundle. Smooth loop bundles are therefore a generalization of this construction.
- In $G_{2}$-geometry one of the interesting questions is regarding properties of $G_{2}$-structures that correspond to the same Riemannian metric.
- It turns out that some of the properties of $G_{2}$-structures and octonion bundles are in fact quite generic and appear in the general framework of smooth loops.
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A loop is a quasigroup with an identity element 1 . For any $q \in \mathbb{L}$, define left and right inverses

$$
q^{\rho}=q \backslash 1 \quad \text { and } q^{\lambda}=1 / q
$$
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(0) (Left) Bol loop: for any $p, q, r \in \mathbb{L}, p(q \cdot p r)=(p \cdot q p) r$.
(1) Moufang loop: a loop is a Moufang loop if it satisfies both the left and right Bol identities. (Unit octonions form a Moufang loop.)
(8) Group: clearly any associative loop is a group.
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## Example

In a Moufang loop, the map $\mathrm{Ad}_{q}$, given by $p \longmapsto q p q^{-1}$ is a right pseudoautomorphism with companion $q^{3}$.
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- By (1), for $p, q \in \mathbb{L}$, we have
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h(p q)=h^{\prime}(p) \cdot h(q) .
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## Example

Suppose $\mathbb{L}=S^{7}$ - the Moufang loop of unit octonions. In this case, $\Psi^{R}\left(S^{7}\right) \cong \operatorname{Spin}(7)$ and $\operatorname{PsAut}^{R}\left(S^{7}\right) \cong S O(7)$. The (right) nucleus is $\{ \pm 1\}$, so the projection of a pair $(\alpha, A) \in \Psi^{R}\left(S^{7}\right)$ to $\alpha \in \operatorname{PsAut}^{R}\left(S^{7}\right)$ corresponds to the double cover $\operatorname{Spin}(7) \longrightarrow S O(7)$.
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Lemma
Let $h \in \Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L}, \cdot)$ and $p, q, r, x \in \mathbb{L}$, then
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\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}\left(p \circ_{r} q\right)=h^{\prime}(p) \circ_{h(r)} h^{\prime}(q) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \circ_{r x} q=\left(p \circ_{x}\left(q \circ_{x} r\right)\right) /{ }_{x} r . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Smooth loops

- Suppose the loop $\mathbb{L}$ is a smooth finite-dimensional manifold such that the loop multiplication and division are smooth functions.


## Smooth loops

- Suppose the loop $\mathbb{L}$ is a smooth finite-dimensional manifold such that the loop multiplication and division are smooth functions.
- Assume that $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})$ acts smoothly on $\mathbb{L}$ (then $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})$, $\operatorname{PsAut}^{R}(\mathbb{L})$, and $s \in \mathbb{L}$, Aut $\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s}\right) \cong \operatorname{Stab}_{\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})}(s)$, are all Lie groups).


## Smooth loops

- Suppose the loop $\mathbb{L}$ is a smooth finite-dimensional manifold such that the loop multiplication and division are smooth functions.
- Assume that $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})$ acts smoothly on $\mathbb{L}\left(\right.$ then $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})$, $\operatorname{PsAut}^{R}(\mathbb{L})$, and $s \in \mathbb{L}$, Aut $\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s}\right) \cong \operatorname{Stab}_{\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})}(s)$, are all Lie groups).
- For any $r \in \mathbb{L}$, define the diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
L_{r} & : \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} & R_{r} & : \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \\
& q & \longmapsto r q & q
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, define $L_{r}^{(s)}$ and $R_{r}^{(s)}$ for products with respect to $\circ_{s}$.

## Smooth loops

- Suppose the loop $\mathbb{L}$ is a smooth finite-dimensional manifold such that the loop multiplication and division are smooth functions.
- Assume that $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})$ acts smoothly on $\mathbb{L}\left(\right.$ then $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L}), \operatorname{PsAut}^{R}(\mathbb{L})$, and $s \in \mathbb{L}$, Aut $\left(\mathbb{L}, \mathrm{o}_{s}\right) \cong \operatorname{Stab}_{\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})}(s)$, are all Lie groups).
- For any $r \in \mathbb{L}$, define the diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
L_{r} & : \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} & R_{r} & : \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L} \\
& q & \longmapsto r q & q
\end{array}
$$

Similarly, define $L_{r}^{(s)}$ and $R_{r}^{(s)}$ for products with respect to $\circ_{s}$.

- Given a tangent vector $\xi \in T_{1} \mathbb{L}$, define the vector field $\rho(\xi)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(\xi)_{q}=\left(R_{q}\right)_{*} \xi \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

at any $p \in \mathbb{L}$. If $\mathbb{L}$ is a Lie group, this is equivalent to the standard definition of a right-invariant vector field $X$ such that $\left(R_{q}\right)_{*} X_{p}=X_{p q}$, however in the non-associative case, $R_{q} \circ R_{p} \neq R_{p q}$, so in that case, $\rho(\xi)$ is not right-invariant.

## Exponential map

- If the loop $\left(\mathbb{L}, o_{s}\right)$ is monoassociative, it was shown by Kuz'min in 1971 that one can define an $\operatorname{exponential~}^{\operatorname{map}} \exp _{s}: T_{1} \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ as the solution of the equation (7) for some $\xi \in T_{1} \mathbb{L}$ :
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\frac{d p_{\xi}(t)}{d t}=\left(R_{p_{\xi}(t)}^{(s)}\right)_{*} \xi  \tag{7}\\
p_{\xi}(0)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The solution to (7) with $s=1$ but $p_{\zeta}(0)=q$, is then $p_{\xi}(t)=\exp _{q}(t \xi) q$.
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- Suppose $(\mathbb{L}, \cdot)$ is power-associative and moreover, power left-alternative, i.e. $x^{k}\left(x^{l} q\right)=x^{k+l} q$ for all $x, q \in \mathbb{L}$. Then, it can be shown that the exponential functions are equal for all $q \in \mathbb{L}$.
- In general they will be different functions, but with the same derivative at $t=0$.
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## Definition

The vector space $T_{1} \mathbb{L}$ together with the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]^{(p)}$ is the tangent algebra $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ of $\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{p}\right)$.

- The key difference with Lie algebras is that every $p \in \mathbb{L}$ defines a bracket. If $p$ and $q$ are in different orbits of $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})$, then these algebras do not need to be isomorphic.
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## Theorem

Let $p \in \mathbb{L}$ and let $[\cdot, \cdot]^{(p)}$ be bracket on $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$. Then $\theta$ satisfies the following equation at $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d \theta)_{p}-\frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta]^{(p)}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\theta, \theta]^{(p)}$ is the bracket of $\mathbb{L}$-algebra-valued 1 -forms such that for any $X, Y \in T_{p} \mathbb{L}, \frac{1}{2}[\theta, \theta]^{(p)}(X, Y)=[\theta(X), \theta(Y)]^{(p)}$.
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where $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]^{(p)}$ is the $\mathbb{L}$-algebra associator on $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ given by
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- In a left-alternative loop, the associator is skew in first two entries, but not in general so. Define $a_{p}(\eta, \gamma, \xi)=[\eta, \gamma, \xi]^{(p)}-[\gamma, \eta, \xi]^{(p)}$.
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\left.d b\right|_{p}(\eta, \gamma)=\left[\eta, \gamma, \theta_{p}\right]^{(p)}-\left[\gamma, \eta, \theta_{p}\right]^{(p)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
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where $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]^{(p)}$ is the $\mathbb{L}$-algebra associator on $\mathfrak{l}^{(p)}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\eta, \gamma, \xi]^{(p)}=} & \left.\frac{d^{3}}{d t d \tau d \tau^{\prime}} \exp (\tau \eta) \circ_{p}\left(\exp \left(\tau^{\prime} \gamma\right) \circ_{p} \exp (t \xi)\right)\right|_{t, \tau, \tau^{\prime}=0} \\
& -\left.\frac{d^{3}}{d t d \tau d \tau^{\prime}}\left(\exp (\tau \eta) \circ_{p} \exp \left(\tau^{\prime} \gamma\right)\right) \circ_{p} \exp (t \xi)\right|_{t, \tau, \tau^{\prime}=0}
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- In a left-alternative loop, the associator is skew in first two entries, but not in general so. Define $a_{p}(\eta, \gamma, \xi)=[\eta, \gamma, \xi]^{(p)}-[\gamma, \eta, \xi]^{(p)}$.
- In a Lie group, the exterior derivative of the Maurer-Cartan equation gives the Jacobi identity. In general, from (11) we obtain a generalization (known as the Akivis identity)
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\begin{equation*}
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\end{equation*}
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- Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the Lie algebra of $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})$. Then, we have the following map that relates $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$.
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Define the map $\varphi: \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^{*}$ such that for each $s \in \mathbb{L}$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{p}$,
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\begin{equation*}
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\end{equation*}
$$

## Relationship with the Lie algebra

- Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the Lie algebra of $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})$. Then, we have the following map that relates $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$.


## Definition

Define the map $\varphi: \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^{*}$ such that for each $s \in \mathbb{L}$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{s}(\gamma)=\frac{d}{d t}\left[\exp _{\mathfrak{p}}(t \gamma)(s)\right] /\left.s\right|_{t=0} \in \mathfrak{l} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Suppose $\mathfrak{h}_{s}$ is the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{q}^{(s)}=T_{1} \mathcal{C}^{R}\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{C}^{R}\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s}\right) \cong \Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L}) / \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s}\right)$, we have $\mathfrak{q}^{(s)} \cong \mathfrak{p} / \mathfrak{h}^{(s)}$ as linear representations of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s}\right)$. We can then see that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{s}=\mathfrak{h}^{(s)}$ and the image of $\varphi_{s}$ is precisely $\mathfrak{q}^{(s)}$.
- The action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $\mathfrak{l}$ is given by $\gamma \cdot \xi=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t d \tau} \exp _{\mathfrak{p}}(t \gamma)^{\prime}\left(\exp _{s} \tau \xi\right)\right|_{t, \tau=0}$.
- The action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $\mathfrak{l}$ is given by $\gamma \cdot \xi=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t d \tau} \exp _{\mathfrak{p}}(t \gamma)^{\prime}\left(\exp _{s} \tau \xi\right)\right|_{t, \tau=0}$.
- The action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $\mathfrak{l}$ is given by $\gamma \cdot \xi=\left.\frac{d^{2}}{d t d \tau} \exp _{\mathfrak{p}}(t \gamma)^{\prime}\left(\exp _{s} \tau \xi\right)\right|_{t, \tau=0}$.


## Lemma
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\xi \cdot \varphi_{s}(\eta)-\eta \cdot \varphi_{s}(\xi)=\varphi_{s}\left([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{p}}\right)+\left[\varphi_{s}(\xi), \varphi_{s}(\eta)\right]^{(s)} \tag{15}
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$$

where $\cdot$ means the action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $\mathfrak{l}$.

- We can also define the action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $b_{s}$ and $\varphi_{s}$ and the corresponding annihilator subalgebras.
- Let $\mathcal{N}^{R}\left(\mathfrak{l}^{(s)}\right)=\left\{\xi \in \mathfrak{l}: a_{s}(\eta, \gamma, \xi)=0\right.$ for all $\left.\eta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{l}\right\}$.


## Theorem

If $\mathbb{L}$ is a G-loop, we have the following inclusions of Lie algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{s}=\mathfrak{h}_{s} \underset{\text { ideal }}{\subset} \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\varphi_{s}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ann}_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(b_{s}\right) \cong \mathfrak{h}^{(s)} \oplus \mathcal{N}^{R}\left(\mathfrak{l}^{(s)}\right) \subset \mathfrak{p} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Example

If $\mathbb{L}$ is the loop of unit octonions, then we know $\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathfrak{s o}(7) \cong \Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{l} \cong \mathbb{R}^{7}$, so $\varphi_{1}$ can be regarded as an element of $\mathbb{R}^{7} \otimes \Lambda^{2} \mathbb{R}^{7}$, and this is (up to a factor) a dualized version of the $G_{2}$-invariant 3-form $\varphi$, as used to project from $\Lambda^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)^{*}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{7}$. The kernel of this map is then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$. In this case, both $b_{s}$ and $\varphi_{s}$ are determined by the same object, but in general they have different roles.

## Darboux derivative

- Let $M$ be a smooth manifold and suppose $s: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is a smooth map. Using $s$ we define a product on $\mathbb{L}$-valued maps from $M$ and a corresponding bracket on $\mathfrak{l}$-valued maps.
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- Let $A, B: M \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ and $\xi, \eta: M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l}$, then at each $x \in M$, define
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\begin{align*}
\left.A \circ_{s} B\right|_{x} & =A_{x} \circ_{s_{x}} B_{x} \in \mathbb{L}  \tag{17a}\\
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{\left.[\xi, \eta]^{(s)}\right|_{x} } & =\left[\xi_{x}, \eta_{x}\right]^{\left(s_{x}\right)} \in \mathfrak{l} . \tag{17c}
\end{align*}
$$

- The bracket $[,, \cdot]^{(s)}$ defines the map $b_{s}: M \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2} \mathfrak{l}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{l}$. We also have the associator $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]^{(s)}$ and the left-alternative map $a_{s}: M \longrightarrow \Lambda^{2} \mathfrak{l}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{l}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{l}$. Similarly, define the map $\varphi_{s}: M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}^{*} \otimes \mathfrak{l}$.
- As for Lie groups, define the (right) Darboux derivative $\theta_{s}=s^{*} \theta \in \Omega^{1}(M, \mathfrak{l})$. At every $x \in M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\theta_{s}\right)\right|_{x}=\left.\left(R_{s(x)}^{-1}\right)_{*} d s\right|_{x} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem

Let $M$ be a smooth manifold and let $x \in M$. Suppose $A, B, s \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{L})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(A \circ_{s} B\right)=(d A) \circ_{s} B+A \circ_{s}(d B)+\left[A, B, \theta_{s}\right]^{(s)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$
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d\left(B \backslash \backslash_{s} A\right) & =B \backslash_{s} d A-B \backslash_{s}\left(d B \circ_{s}\left(B \backslash_{s} A\right)\right)-B \backslash_{s}\left[B, B \backslash{ }_{s} A, \theta_{s}\right]^{(s)} .
\end{aligned}
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Suppose now $\xi, \eta \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathfrak{l})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d[\xi, \eta]^{(s)}=[d \xi, \eta]^{(s)}+[\xi, d \eta]^{(s)}+a_{s}\left(\xi, \eta, \theta_{s}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem

Let $M$ be a smooth manifold and let $x \in M$. Suppose $A, B, s \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{L})$, then
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d\left(A \circ_{s} B\right)=(d A) \circ_{s} B+A \circ_{s}(d B)+\left[A, B, \theta_{s}\right]^{(s)} \tag{19}
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and
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Suppose now $\xi, \eta \in C^{\infty}(M, \mathfrak{l})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d[\xi, \eta]^{(s)}=[d \xi, \eta]^{(s)}+[\xi, d \eta]^{(s)}+a_{s}\left(\xi, \eta, \theta_{s}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^{*}$-valued map $\varphi_{s}: M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^{*}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \varphi_{s}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \theta_{s}-\left[\varphi_{s}, \theta_{s}\right]^{(s)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Loop bundles

- Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a smooth loop, and let us define for brevity $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})=\Psi$, Aut $(\mathbb{L})=H$, and $\operatorname{PsAut}^{R}(\mathbb{L})=G \supset H$, and $\mathcal{N}^{R}(\mathbb{L})=\mathcal{N}$. As before, suppose $\Psi, H, G, \mathcal{N}$ are Lie groups.
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## Loop bundles

- Let $\mathbb{L}$ be a smooth loop, and let us define for brevity $\Psi^{R}(\mathbb{L})=\Psi$, Aut $(\mathbb{L})=H$, and $\operatorname{PsAut}^{R}(\mathbb{L})=G \supset H$, and $\mathcal{N}^{R}(\mathbb{L})=\mathcal{N}$. As before, suppose $\Psi, H, G, \mathcal{N}$ are Lie groups.
- Let $M$ be a smooth manifold with a $\Psi$-principal bundle $\mathcal{P}$. Recall that if $S$ is a set with an action of $\Psi$ on it, then we can define an associated bundle $\mathcal{P} \times_{\Psi} S$, with sections being in an 1-1 correspondence with equivariant maps $\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow S$. Define the following bundles: $(h \in \Psi)$.



## Equivariant map Equivariance

$k: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \Psi$
$u: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \Psi$
$r: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$
$q: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$
$\eta: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k(p h)=h^{-1} k(p) \\
& u(p h)=h^{-1} u(p) h \\
& r(p h)=h^{-1}(r(p)) \\
& q(p h)=\left(h^{-1}\right)^{\prime}(q(p)) \\
& \eta(p h)=\left(h^{-1}\right)_{*}^{\prime} \eta
\end{aligned}
$$

- In general, if $A, B \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ are equivariant, then the product $A B$ is not. Two ways to define an equivariant product:
- In general, if $A, B \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ are equivariant, then the product $A B$ is not. Two ways to define an equivariant product:
(1) Take $A \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ and $s \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$, then if both $A$ and $s$ are equivariant, so is As (c.f. Clifford product.)
- In general, if $A, B \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ are equivariant, then the product $A B$ is not. Two ways to define an equivariant product:
(1) Take $A \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ and $s \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$, then if both $A$ and $s$ are equivariant, so is $A s$ (c.f. Clifford product.)
(2) Taking $s \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L}), A \circ_{s} B$ is then equivariant as a map to $\mathbb{L}$.
- In general, if $A, B \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ are equivariant, then the product $A B$ is not. Two ways to define an equivariant product:
(1) Take $A \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ and $s \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$, then if both $A$ and $s$ are equivariant, so is As (c.f. Clifford product.)
(2) Taking $s \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L}^{\mathrm{L}}\right), A \circ_{s} B$ is then equivariant as a map to $\mathbb{L}$.
- Overall, need a defining equivariant map $s \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L}^{\circ}\right)$. Equivalently, this is a section of $\grave{Q}$.
- In general, if $A, B \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ are equivariant, then the product $A B$ is not. Two ways to define an equivariant product:
(1) Take $A \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$ and $s \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L})$, then if both $A$ and $s$ are equivariant, so is As (c.f. Clifford product.)
(2) Taking $s \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L}^{\mathrm{L}}\right), A \circ_{s} B$ is then equivariant as a map to $\mathbb{L}$.
- Overall, need a defining equivariant map $s \in C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{L}^{\circ}\right)$. Equivalently, this is a section of $\grave{Q}$.
- Given $s$, easy to show that corresponding maps $b_{s}, a_{s}$, and $\varphi_{s}$ are also equivariant.


## Connections and Torsion

- Suppose the principal $\Psi$-bundle $\mathcal{P}$ has a principal connection given by

$$
T \mathcal{P}=\mathcal{H P} \oplus \mathcal{V} \mathcal{P}
$$

and let $\omega: T \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding connection 1-form.
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T \mathcal{P}=\mathcal{H P} \oplus \mathcal{V} \mathcal{P}
$$

and let $\omega: T \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding connection 1-form.

- Recall that given an equivariant map $f: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow S$, the covariant derivative is defined as

$$
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Theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Let } \hat{\omega}^{(s)}=\varphi_{s}(\omega) \in \Omega^{1}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{l}) . \text { Then, } \\
& \qquad \theta_{s}=T^{(s, \omega)}-\hat{\omega}^{(s)} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

- We this see that the torsion is the horizontal part of the loop Darboux derivative $\theta_{s}$, and hence it defines a 1-form with values in the bundle $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{P} \times{ }_{\Psi_{*}^{\prime}} \mathfrak{l}$ over $M$. The vertical part of $\theta_{s}$ is $\hat{\omega}^{(s)}=\varphi_{s}(\omega)$.
- We this see that the torsion is the horizontal part of the loop Darboux derivative $\theta_{s}$, and hence it defines a 1-form with values in the bundle $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{P} \times{ }_{\Psi_{*}^{\prime}} \mathfrak{l}$ over $M$. The vertical part of $\theta_{s}$ is $\hat{\omega}^{(s)}=\varphi_{s}(\omega)$.
- This object is completely analogous to the torsion of a $G_{2}$-structure. If we take $\mathcal{P}$ to be the spin bundle over a 7 -manifold $M$ and $\omega$ the Levi-Civita connection of some metric on $M$, then it is easy to see that $T^{(s, \omega)}$ is precisely the torsion of the $G_{2}$-structure defined by the map $s$. Indeed, $S^{7} \cong \operatorname{Spin}(7) / G_{2}$, so an equivariant map $s: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Spin}(7) / G_{2}$ defines a reduction of $\mathcal{P}$ to a $G_{2}$-subbundle (and hence a $G_{2}$-structure).
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- In general, if $\stackrel{\circ}{D} s=0$, then the holonomy $\operatorname{Hol}_{p}(\omega)$ of $\omega$ at $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is contained in Aut $\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s_{p}}\right)$.
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- In general, if $D \circ=0$, then the holonomy $\operatorname{Hol}_{p}(\omega)$ of $\omega$ at $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is contained in Aut $\left(\mathbb{L}, \circ_{s_{p}}\right)$.
- $T^{(s, \omega)}=0$ if and only if $\theta_{s}=-\hat{\omega}^{(s)}$. For Lie groups, a Lie-algebra-valued 1-form is a Darboux derivative of some function if and only if it satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation. For loops, such a characterization is in general more complicated and less clear.
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The $\mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^{*}$-valued map $\varphi_{s}: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{l} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^{*}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{\mathcal{H}} \varphi_{s}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot T^{(s, \omega)}-\left[\varphi_{s}, T^{(s, \omega)}\right]^{(s)} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Curvature

- Curvature $F^{(\omega)} \in \Omega^{2}(\mathcal{P}, \mathfrak{p})$ of the connection $\omega$ on $\mathcal{P}$ is given by
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## Theorem

$\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}$ and $T^{(s, \omega)}$ satisfy the following structure equation
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where wedge product between the 1-forms $T^{(s, \omega)}$ is implied.
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- In (29), the torsion differs by a sign, and we take $\left(\varphi_{s}\right)^{a}{ }_{b c}=-\frac{1}{4} \varphi^{a}{ }_{b c}$ and $\left(b_{s}\right)^{a}{ }_{b c}=2 \varphi^{a}{ }_{b c}$. Note that (27) and (26) then agree to give the standard expression for $\nabla \varphi$.
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- Equation (30) then shows that $-\hat{\omega}^{(s)}$ satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation if and only if both $\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}$ and $d^{\mathcal{H}} \varphi_{s}$ vanish. In the $G_{2}$ case, the latter implies the former and is actually equivalent to $T^{(s, \omega)}=0$.
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## Theorem

The quantity $\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{\mathcal{H}} \hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}=d^{\mathcal{H}} \varphi_{s} \wedge F=F \dot{\wedge} T^{(s, \omega)}-\left[\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}, T^{(s, \omega)}\right]^{(s)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Deformations

Theorem
Suppose $s: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ and $u: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \Psi$ are equivariant. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{\left(s, u^{*} \omega\right)}=T^{(s, \omega)}+\varphi_{s}\left(\left(u^{*} \theta_{\Psi}\right)^{\mathcal{H}}\right)=\left(u^{-1}\right)_{*}^{\prime} T^{(u(s), \omega)}  \tag{33a}\\
& \hat{F}^{\left(s, u^{*} \omega\right)}=\left(u^{-1}\right)_{*}^{\prime} \hat{F}^{(u(s), \omega)} . \tag{33b}
\end{align*}
$$
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& \hat{F}^{\left(s, u^{*} \omega\right)}=\left(u^{-1}\right)_{*}^{\prime} \hat{F}^{(u(s), \omega)} . \tag{33b}
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Suppose $A: \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}$ is equivariant. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{(A s, \omega)}=\left(R_{A}^{(s)}\right)_{*}^{-1} D A+\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{A}^{(s)}\right)_{*} T^{(s, \omega)}  \tag{34a}\\
& \hat{F}^{(A s, \omega)}=\left(R_{A}^{(s)}\right)_{*}^{-1}\left(F^{\prime} \cdot A\right)+\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{A}^{(s)}\right)_{*} \hat{F}^{(s, \omega)} \tag{34b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F^{\prime} \cdot A$ denotes the infinitesimal action of $\mathfrak{p}$ on $\mathbb{L}$.
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- This is a work in progress, however we see a number of features of $G_{2}$-structures appearing in a general setting, suggesting that they are not that special.
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## Concluding remarks

- This is a work in progress, however we see a number of features of $G_{2}$-structures appearing in a general setting, suggesting that they are not that special.
- The case of $G_{2}$-structures has very special properties: the properties of Moufang loops and also the fact the $\mathcal{P}$ corresponds to the spin structure.
- Even in the general setting we obtain a rich structure with a key role being played by the loop Maurer-Cartan equation.
- The lack of a suitable Bianchi identity for $\hat{F}^{(s, \omega)}$ precludes the possibility of defining characteristic classes in the usual sense, however there could be some weakened analogs.

