

Stratonovich Calculus for FBM with Parameter Less than 1/2

Jorge A. León

Departamento de Control Automático
Cinvestav del IPN

Spring School “Stochastic Control in Finance”, Roscoff 2010

Jointly with Elisa Alòs and David Nualart

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Stratonovich Integral
- 4 Itô's formula
- 5 Stochastic Differential Equations

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Stratonovich Integral
- 4 Itô's formula
- 5 Stochastic Differential Equations

Stochastic integration

We consider

$$\int_0^T \cdot \circ dB_s.$$

Stochastic integration

We consider

$$\int_0^T \cdot \circ dB_s.$$

Here, the stochastic integral is in the Stratonovich sense and B is a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1/2)$.

Contents

1 Introduction

2 Preliminaries

3 Stratonovich Integral

4 Itô's formula

5 Stochastic Differential Equations

FBM

The process B satisfies

$$B_t = \int_0^t K(t, s) dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

FBM

The process B satisfies

$$B_t = \int_0^t \color{red} K(t,s) dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Here :

$$\begin{aligned} R_H(\color{blue} t, s) &= \int_0^{s \wedge t} \color{red} K(\color{blue} t, r) \color{red} K(\color{blue} s, r) dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H} \right), \quad \color{blue} t, s \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

FBM

The process B satisfies

$$B_t = \int_0^t \textcolor{red}{K}(t, s) dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Here :

- $R_H(\textcolor{blue}{t}, \textcolor{blue}{s}) = \int_0^{s \wedge t} \textcolor{red}{K}(\textcolor{blue}{t}, r) \textcolor{red}{K}(\textcolor{blue}{s}, r) dr, \quad t, s \in [0, T].$
- $\textcolor{red}{K}(t, s) = c_H(t - s)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} + s^{H - \frac{1}{2}} \textcolor{blue}{F}_1\left(\frac{t}{s}\right), \quad s < t,$

with

$$\textcolor{blue}{F}_1(z) = c_H \left(\frac{1}{2} - H \right) \int_0^{z-1} \theta^{H - \frac{3}{2}} \left(1 - (\theta + 1)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} \right) d\theta.$$

FBM

The process B satisfies

$$B_t = \int_0^t \textcolor{red}{K}(t, s) dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Here :

- $R_H(\textcolor{blue}{t}, \textcolor{blue}{s}) = \int_0^{s \wedge t} \textcolor{red}{K}(\textcolor{blue}{t}, r) \textcolor{red}{K}(\textcolor{blue}{s}, r) dr, \quad \textcolor{blue}{t}, \textcolor{blue}{s} \in [0, T].$
- $\textcolor{red}{K}(t, s) = c_H(t - s)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} + s^{H - \frac{1}{2}} \textcolor{blue}{F}_1\left(\frac{t}{s}\right), \quad s < t,$ with

$$\textcolor{blue}{F}_1(z) = c_H \left(\frac{1}{2} - H \right) \int_0^{z-1} \theta^{H - \frac{3}{2}} \left(1 - (\theta + 1)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} \right) d\theta.$$

- $|\textcolor{red}{K}(t, s)| \leq c((t - s)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} + s^{H - \frac{1}{2}}).$

FBM

$$B_t = \int_0^t \color{red}{K}(t,s) dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Here :

- $R_H(\color{blue}{t}, \color{blue}{s}) = \int_0^{s \wedge t} \color{red}{K}(\color{blue}{t}, r) \color{red}{K}(\color{blue}{s}, r) dr, \quad t, s \in [0, T].$
- $\color{red}{K}(t, s) = c_H(t - s)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} + s^{H - \frac{1}{2}} \color{blue}{F}_1\left(\frac{t}{s}\right), \quad s < t,$ with

$$\color{blue}{F}_1(z) = c_H \left(\frac{1}{2} - H \right) \int_0^{z-1} \theta^{H - \frac{3}{2}} \left(1 - (\theta + 1)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} \right) d\theta.$$

- $|\color{red}{K}(t, s)| \leq c((t - s)^{H - \frac{1}{2}} + s^{H - \frac{1}{2}}).$
- $\left| \frac{\partial \color{red}{K}}{\partial t}(t, s) \right| \leq c(t - s)^{H - \frac{3}{2}}.$

Seminorm

We consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{\color{red}K}^2 : &= \int_0^T \varphi^2(s) \color{red}K(T,s)^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| (t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} dt \right)^2 ds, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}. \end{aligned}$$

Seminorm

We consider,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 : &= \int_0^T \varphi^2(s) \mathcal{K}(T, s)^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| (t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} dt \right)^2 ds, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E},\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}$ the completion of \mathcal{E} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Seminorm

We consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 : &= \int_0^T \varphi^2(s) \mathcal{K}(T, s)^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| (t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} dt \right)^2 ds, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}$ the completion of \mathcal{E} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ and the space $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}})$.

Seminorm

We consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 : &= \int_0^T \varphi^2(s) \mathcal{K}(T, s)^2 ds \\ &+ \int_0^T \left(\int_s^T |\varphi(t) - \varphi(s)| (t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}} dt \right)^2 ds, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{E}, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}$ the completion of \mathcal{E} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{K}}$ and the space
 $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}})$:

A process $\{u_t; t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}})$ iff there exists a sequence
 $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}$ -valued processes

$$\varphi_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j \mathbf{1}_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]},$$

where $F_j \in \mathcal{S}$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$.

Seminorm

A process $\{u_t; t \in [0, T]\} \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ iff there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ of \mathcal{H}_K -valued processes

$$\varphi_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j \mathbf{1}_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]},$$

where $F_j \in \mathcal{S}$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$, such that

$$E \left(\|u - \varphi_n\|_K^2 \right) + E \left(\int_0^T \|D_r(u - \varphi_n)\|_K^2 dr \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Divergence operator

- $|\mathcal{K}(t, s)| \leq c((t - s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} + s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}).$
- $\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial t}(t, s) \right| \leq c(t - s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}.$

Theorem

$\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}) \subset \text{Dom } \delta \text{ and}$

$$E \left(\|u - \varphi_n\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 \right) + E \left(\int_0^T \|D_r(u - \varphi_n)\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 dr \right) \rightarrow 0$$

implies

$$E \left((\delta(u) - \delta(\varphi_n))^2 \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Stratonovich Integral
- 4 Itô's formula
- 5 Stochastic Differential Equations

Stratonovich integral

Definition (Russo and Vallois)

We say that a process $\textcolor{red}{u}$ with integrable paths belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta_S^B$ if and only if

$$(2\textcolor{blue}{\varepsilon})^{-1} \int_0^T \textcolor{red}{u}_s (B_{(s+\varepsilon \wedge T)} - B_{(s-\varepsilon \vee 0)}) ds$$

converges in probability as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Stratonovich integral

Definition (Russo and Vallois)

We say that a process $\textcolor{red}{u}$ with integrable paths belongs to $\text{Dom } \delta_S^B$ if and only if

$$(2\textcolor{blue}{\varepsilon})^{-1} \int_0^T \textcolor{red}{u}_s (B_{(s+\varepsilon \wedge T)} - B_{(s-\varepsilon \vee 0)}) ds$$

converges in probability as $\textcolor{blue}{\varepsilon} \downarrow 0$. In this case we denote this limit by

$$\delta_S^B(u) \quad \text{or} \quad \int_0^T u_s \circ dB_s.$$

Trace of the Stratonovich integral

We also need

Definition

We say that a process $\textcolor{red}{u} \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}_c^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ if the limit in probability

$$TrD\textcolor{red}{u} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^T \langle D^B \textcolor{red}{u}_s, 1_{(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0, (s+\varepsilon) \wedge T]} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds.$$

exists.

Trace of the Stratonovich integral

We also need

Definition

We say that a process $\textcolor{red}{u} \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ belongs to $\mathbb{D}_c^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ if the limit in probability

$$TrD\textcolor{red}{u} := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^T \langle D^B \textcolor{red}{u}_s, 1_{(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0, (s+\varepsilon) \wedge T}] \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds.$$

exists.

Remark Remembert that \mathcal{H} is the completion of \mathcal{E} with respect to R_H .

Stratonovich integral

Theorem

Let $\textcolor{red}{u} \in \mathbb{D}_C^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ be such that

$$E \left(\int_0^T u_s^2 (s^{2H-1} + (T-s)^{2H-1}) ds \right) < \infty,$$

and

$$E \left(\int_0^T \int_0^T (D_r u_s)^2 (s^{2H-1} + (T-s)^{2H-1}) ds dr \right) < \infty.$$

Then, $u \in \text{Dom } \delta_S^B \cap \text{Dom } \delta^B$ and

$$\delta_S^B(u) = \delta^B(u) + \text{Tr}Du.$$

Stratonovich integral

- $|\mathcal{K}(t, s)| \leq c((t - s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} + s^{H-\frac{1}{2}}).$
- $\left| \frac{\partial \mathcal{K}}{\partial t}(t, s) \right| \leq c(t - s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}}.$

Theorem

Let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{D}_C^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ be such that

- $E \left(\int_0^T u_s^2 (s^{2H-1} + (T-s)^{2H-1}) ds \right) < \infty,$
- $E \left(\int_0^T \int_0^T (D_r u_s)^2 (s^{2H-1} + (T-s)^{2H-1}) ds dr \right) < \infty.$

Then, $u \in \text{Dom } \delta_S^B \cap \text{Dom } \delta^B$ and

$$\delta_S^B(u) = \delta^B(u) + \text{Tr}Du.$$

Stratonovich integral

Theorem

Let $\textcolor{red}{u} \in \mathbb{D}_C^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$. Then, $u \in \text{Dom } \delta_S^B \cap \text{Dom } \delta^B$ and

$$\delta_S^B(u) = \delta^B(u) + \text{Tr}Du.$$

Proof : The Fubini theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T u_s (B_{(s+\varepsilon)\wedge T} - B_{(s-\varepsilon)\vee 0}) ds \\ &= (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \delta^B(u_s 1_{[(s-\varepsilon)\vee 0, (s+\varepsilon)\wedge T]}) ds \\ &+ (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \langle D^B u_s, 1_{[(s-\varepsilon)\vee 0, (s+\varepsilon)\wedge T]}(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \end{aligned}$$

Stratonovich integral

Theorem

Let $\textcolor{red}{u} \in \mathbb{D}_C^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$. Then, $u \in \text{Dom } \delta_S^B \cap \text{Dom } \delta^B$ and

$$\delta_S^B(u) = \delta^B(u) + \text{Tr}Du.$$

Proof: The Fubini theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T u_s (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds \\ &= (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \left(\int_{(r-\varepsilon) \vee 0}^{(r+\varepsilon) \wedge T} u_s ds \right) dB_r \\ &+ (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \langle D_\cdot^B u_s, 1_{[(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0, (s+\varepsilon) \wedge T]}(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \end{aligned}$$

Stratonovich integral

Theorem

Let $\textcolor{red}{u} \in \mathbb{D}_C^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$. Then, $u \in \text{Dom } \delta_S^B \cap \text{Dom } \delta^B$ and

$$\delta_S^B(u) = \delta^B(u) + \text{Tr}Du.$$

Proof : The Fubini theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T u_s (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds \\ &= (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \left(\int_{(r-\varepsilon) \vee 0}^{(r+\varepsilon) \wedge T} u_s ds \right) dB_r \\ &\quad + (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \langle D_s^B u_s, 1_{[(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0, (s+\varepsilon) \wedge T]}(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ &= \int_0^T u_r^\varepsilon dB_r + B^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Stratonovich integral

Proof: The Fubini theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T u_s (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds \\ = & (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \left(\int_{(r-\varepsilon) \vee 0}^{(r+\varepsilon) \wedge T} u_s ds \right) dB_r \\ & + (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \langle D^B u_s, 1_{[(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0, (s+\varepsilon) \wedge T]}(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ = & \int_0^T u_r^\varepsilon dB_r + B^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using that $u \in \mathbb{D}_C^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ we get that B^ε converges to $TrDu$ in probability as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Stratonovich integral

Proof: The Fubini theorem gives

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T u_s (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds \\ = & (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \left(\int_{(r-\varepsilon) \vee 0}^{(r+\varepsilon) \wedge T} u_s ds \right) dB_r \\ & + (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T \langle D^B u_s, 1_{[(s-\varepsilon) \vee 0, (s+\varepsilon) \wedge T]}(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds \\ = & \int_0^T u_r^\varepsilon dB_r + B^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So, we need to show that u^ε converges to u in the norm of $\mathbb{D}^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ in order to see that $\int_0^T u_r^\varepsilon dB_r$ converges to $\delta^B(u)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as ε tends to zero.

Stratonovich integral

Step 1 We first assume that is a simple process of the form

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}$$

Stratonovich integral

Step 1 We first assume that is a simple process of the form

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1})}.$$

Hence, Property (i) of the kernel K , the fact that u is bounded and the dominated convergence theorem imply

$$E \int_0^T (u_s - u_s^\varepsilon)^2 K(T, s)^2 ds \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Stratonovich integral

Step 1 We first assume that is a simple process of the form

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} F_j 1_{(t_j, t_{j+1}]}$$

Now, using that $u_t - u_s = 0$ for $s, t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_s^T |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon - (u_t - u_s)| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ & \leq 2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_s^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_{t_{i+1}}^T |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon - (u_t - u_s)| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ & = 2A_1(i, \varepsilon) + 2A_2(i, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Stratonovich integral

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_s^T |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon - (u_t - u_s)| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ & \leq 2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_s^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_{t_{i+1}}^T |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon - (u_t - u_s)| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ & = 2A_1(i, \varepsilon) + 2A_2(i, \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

$A_2(i, \varepsilon)$ goes to 0, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, because of the dominated convergence theorem and the fact that u is a bounded process.

Stratonovich integral

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(i, \varepsilon) &\leq 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_i+2\varepsilon} \left(\int_s^{t_i+2\varepsilon} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_s^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_i+2\varepsilon} \left(\int_{t_i+2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon} \left(\int_{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Stratonovich integral

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(i, \varepsilon) &\leq 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_i+2\varepsilon} \left(\int_s^{t_i+2\varepsilon} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_s^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_i+2\varepsilon} \left(\int_{t_i+2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon} \left(\int_{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

The first and second integrals converge to zero, due to the estimate

$$|u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon} |t-s|.$$

Stratonovich integral

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(i, \varepsilon) &\leq 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_i+2\varepsilon} \left(\int_s^{t_i+2\varepsilon} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\int_s^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_i+2\varepsilon} \left(\int_{t_i+2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 8 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon} \left(\int_{t_{i+1}-2\varepsilon}^{t_{i+1}} |u_t^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon| (t-s)^{-1-\alpha} dt \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

The third and fourth term of the above expression converge to zero because u_t^ε is bounded.

Stratonovich integral

Step 2 Fix $\delta > 0$ and a bounded simple \mathcal{H}_K -valued processes φ such that

$$E \|u - \varphi\|_K^2 + E \int_0^T \|D_r u - D_r \varphi\|_K^2 dr \leq \delta.$$

Stratonovich integral

Step 2 Fix $\delta > 0$ and a bounded simple \mathcal{H}_K -valued processes φ such that

$$E \|u - \varphi\|_K^2 + E \int_0^T \|D_r u - D_r \varphi\|_K^2 dr \leq \delta.$$

Then, Step 1 implies that for ε small enough,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \|u - u^\varepsilon\|_K^2 + E \int_0^T \|D_r (u - u^\varepsilon)\|_K^2 dr \\ & \leq cE \|u - \varphi\|_K^2 + cE \int_0^T \|D_r (u - \varphi)\|_K^2 dr \\ & \quad + cE \|\varphi - \varphi^\varepsilon\|_K^2 + cE \int_0^T \|D_r (\varphi - \varphi^\varepsilon)\|_K^2 dr \\ & \quad + cE \|\varphi^\varepsilon - u^\varepsilon\|_K^2 + cE \int_0^T \|D_r (\varphi^\varepsilon - u^\varepsilon)\|_K^2 dr \\ & \leq 2c\delta + cE \|\varphi^\varepsilon - u^\varepsilon\|_K^2 + cE \int_0^T \|D_r (\varphi^\varepsilon - u^\varepsilon)\|_K^2 dr. \end{aligned}$$

Stratonovich integral

Step 2 Fix $\delta > 0$ and a bounded simple \mathcal{H}_K -valued processes φ such that

$$E \|u - \varphi\|_K^2 + E \int_0^T \|D_r u - D_r \varphi\|_K^2 dr \leq \delta.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T E (\varphi_s^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon)^2 K(T, s)^2 ds \\ & \leq \int_0^T E \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} (\varphi_r - u_r) dr \right)^2 K(T, s)^2 ds \\ & \leq \int_0^T E (\varphi_r - u_r)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{(r-\varepsilon)\vee 0}^{(r+\varepsilon)\wedge T} K(T, s)^2 ds \right) dr < \delta \end{aligned}$$

Stratonovich integral

Step 2 Fix $\delta > 0$ and a bounded simple \mathcal{H}_K -valued processes φ such that

$$E \|u - \varphi\|_K^2 + E \int_0^T \|D_r u - D_r \varphi\|_K^2 dr \leq \delta.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T E (\varphi_s^\varepsilon - u_s^\varepsilon)^2 K(T, s)^2 ds \\ & \leq \int_0^T E \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{s-\varepsilon}^{s+\varepsilon} (\varphi_r - u_r) dr \right)^2 K(T, s)^2 ds \\ & \leq \int_0^T E (\varphi_r - u_r)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{(r-\varepsilon)\vee 0}^{(r+\varepsilon)\wedge T} K(T, s)^2 ds \right) dr < \delta \end{aligned}$$

due to $(2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{(r-\varepsilon)\vee 0}^{(r+\varepsilon)\wedge T} K(T, t)^2 dt \leq c [(T-r)^{-2\alpha} + r^{-2\alpha}]$.

Stratonovich integral

Theorem

Let $\textcolor{red}{u} \in \mathbb{D}_C^{1,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$. Then, $u \in \text{Dom } \delta_S^B \cap \text{Dom } \delta^B$ and

$$\delta_S^B(u) = \delta^B(u) + \text{Tr}Du.$$

Remark The results of this section can be easily generalized to a centered Gaussian process of the form $B_t = \int_0^t \textcolor{red}{K}(t,s)dW_s$, where $\textcolor{red}{K}(t,s)$ is a continuously differentiable kernel in the region $\{0 < s < t < T\}$ satisfying :

- $|\textcolor{red}{K}(t,s)| \leq c((t-s)^{H-\frac{1}{2}} + s^{H-\frac{1}{2}})$.
- $\left| \frac{\partial \textcolor{red}{K}}{\partial t}(t,s) \right| \leq c((t-s)^{H-\frac{3}{2}})$.

Example

Let F be a continuously differentiable function satisfying the growth condition

$$\max\{|F(x)|, |F'(x)|\} \leq ce^{\lambda|x|^2},$$

where c and λ are positive constants such that $\lambda < T^{-2H}$.

Example

Let F be a continuously differentiable function satisfying the growth condition

$$\max\{|F(x)|, |F'(x)|\} \leq ce^{\lambda|x|^2},$$

where c and λ are positive constants such that $\lambda < T^{-2H}$. We know that if $H > \frac{1}{4}$, the process $u_t = F(B_t)$ belongs to the space $L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{H}_K)$.

Example

Let F be a continuously differentiable function satisfying the growth condition

$$\max\{|F(x)|, |F'(x)|\} \leq ce^{\lambda|x|^2},$$

where c and λ are positive constants such that $\lambda < T^{-2H}$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T F'(B_t) \left\langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[(t-\varepsilon)\vee 0, (t+\varepsilon)\wedge T]} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dt \\ &= (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T F'(B_t) (R(t, (t+\varepsilon) \wedge T) - R(t, (t-\varepsilon) \vee 0)) dt \\ &= (4\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_0^T F'(B_t) (((t+\varepsilon) \wedge T)^{2H} - ((t-\varepsilon) \vee 0)^{2H} \\ &\quad + ((t+\varepsilon) \wedge T - t)^{2H} - (t - (t-\varepsilon) \vee 0)^{2H}) dt \\ &\longrightarrow H \int_0^T F'(B_t) t^{2H-1} dt \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example

Let F be a continuously differentiable function satisfying the growth condition

$$\max\{|F(x)|, |F'(x)|\} \leq ce^{\lambda|x|^2},$$

where c and λ are positive constants such that $\lambda < T^{-2H}$. Then

$$\int_0^T F(B_t) \circ dB_t = \int_0^T F(B_t) dB_t + H \int_0^T F'(B_t) t^{2H-1} dt.$$

Example

The forward integral of $F(B_t)$ with respect to B defined as the limit in probability, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, of

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T F(B_t) (B_{(t+\varepsilon)\wedge T} - B_{\textcolor{red}{t}}) dt,$$

does not exist in general.

Example

The forward integral of $F(B_t)$ with respect to B defined as the limit in probability, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, of

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T F(B_t) (B_{(t+\varepsilon)\wedge T} - B_{\textcolor{red}{t}}) dt,$$

does not exist in general. For instance, for $F(x) = x$,

Example

The forward integral of $F(B_t)$ with respect to B defined as the limit in probability, as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, of

$$\varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T F(B_t) (B_{(t+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{\textcolor{red}{t}}) dt,$$

does not exist in general. For instance, for $F(x) = x$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T \left\langle 1_{[0,t]}, 1_{[t,(t+\varepsilon) \wedge T]} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} dt \\ &= \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^T (R(t, (t + \varepsilon) \wedge T) - R(t, t)) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^T (((t + \varepsilon) \wedge T)^{2H} - t^{2H} - ((t + \varepsilon) \wedge T - t)^{2H}) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(T^{2H} - T\varepsilon^{2H-1} + \frac{2H-1}{2H+1}\varepsilon^{2H} \right) \rightarrow -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Stratonovich Integral
- 4 Itô's formula
- 5 Stochastic Differential Equations

Itô's formula

(C) u and $D_r u$ are λ -Hölder continuous in the norm of the space $\mathbb{D}^{1,4}$ for some $\lambda > \alpha$, and the function

$$\gamma_r = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|D_r u_s\|_{1,4} + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \frac{\|D_r u_t - D_r u_s\|_{1,4}}{|t - s|^\lambda}$$

satisfies $\int_0^T \gamma_r^p dr < \infty$ for some $p > \frac{2}{1-4\alpha}$. Here $\alpha = \frac{1}{2} - H$.

Also

$$E \int_0^T u_s^2 \left(s^{-2\alpha} + (T-s)^{-2\alpha} \right) ds < \infty,$$

and

$$E \int_0^T \int_0^T (D_r u_s)^2 \left(s^{-2\alpha} + (T-s)^{-2\alpha} \right) ds dr < \infty.$$

Itô's formula

Theorem

Suppose $\alpha < \frac{1}{4}$. Let u be an adapted process in $\mathbb{D}^{2,2}(\mathcal{H}_K)$ satisfying condition (C) and such that the following limit exists in probability

$$\int_0^T \left| (\nabla u)_s - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left\langle D^B u_s, \mathbf{1}_{[(t-\varepsilon)\vee 0, (t+\varepsilon)\wedge T]} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right| ds \rightarrow 0,$$

for some process $(\nabla u)_s$ in $\mathbb{L}^{1,2}$. Define $X_t = \int_0^t u_s \circ dB_s$. Then, for all $F \in \mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ the process $F'(X_s)u_s$ is Stratonovich integrable with respect to B and

$$F(X_t) = F(0) + \int_0^t F'(X_s)u_s \circ dB_s.$$

Itô's formula : Proof

Proof. We know that

$$X_t = \int_0^t u_s dB_s + \int_0^t (\nabla u)_s ds.$$

Itô's formula : Proof

Proof. We know that

$$X_t = \int_0^t u_s dB_s + \int_0^t (\nabla u)_s ds.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} F(X_t) &= F(0) + \int_0^t F'(X_s) u_s dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t F''(X_s) u_s \left(\int_0^s \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, r) \left(\int_0^r D_r (K_s^* u)_\theta dW_\theta \right) dr \right) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F''(X_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\int_0^s (K_s^* u)_r^2 dr \right) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t F'(X_s) (\nabla u)_s ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t F''(X_s) u_s \int_0^s \left(\int_r^s D_r (\nabla u)_\theta d\theta \right) \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, r) dr ds. \end{aligned}$$

Itô's formula : Proof

Then we only need to check that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \left\langle D^B (F'(X_s) u_s), \mathbf{1}_{[(t-\varepsilon) \vee 0, (t+\varepsilon) \wedge T]} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} ds$$

and that it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t F''(X_s) u_s \left(\int_0^s \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, r) \left(\int_0^r D_r(K_s^* u)_\theta dW_\theta \right) dr \right) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F''(X_s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(\int_0^s (K_s^* u)_r^2 dr \right) ds \\ & + \int_0^t F'(X_s) (\nabla u)_s ds \\ & + \int_0^t F''(X_s) u_s \int_0^s \left(\int_0^s D_r(\nabla u)_\theta d\theta \right) \frac{\partial K}{\partial s}(s, r) dr ds. \end{aligned}$$

Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Stratonovich Integral
- 4 Itô's formula
- 5 Stochastic Differential Equations

SDE

Consider the equation

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t a(X_s) \circ dB_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds.$$

SDE

Consider the equation

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t a(X_s) \circ dB_s + \int_0^t b(X_s) ds.$$

Here, $H \in (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and a, b are bounded and measurable functions.

SDE

Proposition

Assume that $a \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $b \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the unique solution of above equation is given by

$$X_t = \alpha(B_t, Y_t),$$

where Y_t is the solution of

$$Y_t = x + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} (B_s, Y_s) \right)^{-1} b(\alpha(B_s, Y_s)) ds$$

and $\alpha(x, y)$ is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x}(x, y) = a(\alpha(x, y)) \\ \alpha(0, y) = y. \end{cases}$$

SDE : Proof

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$$B_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s+\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds$$

and

$$X_t^\varepsilon = \alpha(B_t^\varepsilon, Y_t).$$

SDE : Proof

Set $B_t^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s+\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds$ and $X_t^\varepsilon = \alpha(B_t^\varepsilon, Y_t)$. Then,

$$X_t^\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= x + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t a(\alpha(B_s^\varepsilon, Y_s)) (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s+\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}(B_s^\varepsilon, Y_s) \right) \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}(B_s, Y_s) \right)^{-1} b(\alpha(B_s, Y_s)) ds \\ &= x + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t a(\alpha(B_s, Y_s)) (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s+\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t [a(\alpha(B_s^\varepsilon, Y_s)) - a(\alpha(B_s, Y_s))] (B_{(s+\varepsilon) \wedge T} - B_{(s+\varepsilon) \vee 0}) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}(B_s^\varepsilon, Y_s) \right) \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y}(B_s, Y_s) \right)^{-1} b(\alpha(B_s, Y_s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition

Assume that $a \in \mathcal{C}_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $b \in \mathcal{C}_b^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then,

$$X_t = \alpha(B_t, Y_t),$$

where Y_t and $\alpha(x, y)$ satisfy

$$Y_t = x + \int_0^t \left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial y} (B_s, Y_s) \right)^{-1} b(\alpha(B_s, Y_s)) ds$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x}(x, y) = a(\alpha(x, y)) \\ \alpha(0, y) = y. \end{cases}$$

Remark Neuenkirch and Nourdin (2007) have shown that this result still hold for $H > 1/2$.