

Quadratic and Superquadratic BSDEs and Related PDEs

Ying Hu

IRMAR, Université Rennes 1, FRANCE

<http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/ying.hu/>

ITN Marie Curie Workshop "Stochastic Control and Finance"

Roscoff, March 2010

1. Utility maximization

The financial market consists of one bond with interest rate zero and $d \leq m$ stocks. In case $d < m$ we face an incomplete market. The price process of stock i evolves according to the equation

$$\frac{dS_t^i}{S_t^i} = b_t^i dt + \sigma_t^i dB_t, \quad i = 1, \dots, d, \quad (1)$$

where b^i (resp. σ^i) is a \mathbb{R} -valued (resp. $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times m}$ -valued) stochastic process. The lines of the $d \times m$ -matrix σ are given by the vector σ_t^i , $i = 1, \dots, d$. The volatility matrix $\sigma = (\sigma^i)_{i=1, \dots, d}$ has full rank (i.e. $\sigma \sigma^{tr}$ is invertible \mathbb{P} -a.s.) The predictable \mathbb{R}^m -valued process (called the risk premium) is defined by:

$$\theta_t = \sigma_t^{tr} (\sigma_t \sigma_t^{tr})^{-1} b_t, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

A d -dimensional \mathcal{F}_t -predictable process $\pi = (\pi_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is called trading strategy if $\int \pi \frac{dS}{S}$ is well defined, e.g. $\int_0^T \|\pi_t \sigma_t\|^2 dt < \infty$ \mathbb{P} -a.s. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, the process π_t^i describes the amount of money invested in stock i at time t . The number of shares is $\frac{\pi_t^i}{S_t^i}$.

The wealth process X^π of a trading strategy π with initial capital x satisfies the equation

$$X_t^\pi = x + \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^t \frac{\pi_{i,u}}{S_{i,u}} dS_{i,u} = x + \int_0^t \pi_u \sigma_u (dB_u + \theta_u du).$$

Suppose our investor has a liability F at time T .

Let us recall that for $\alpha > 0$ the exponential utility function is defined as

$$U(x) = -\exp(-\alpha x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We allow constraints on the trading strategies. Formally, they are supposed to take their values in a closed set, i.e. $\pi_t(\omega) \in \mathcal{C}$, with $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$, and $0 \in \mathcal{C}$.

Definition

[**Admissible Strategies with constraints**] Let \mathcal{C} be a closed set in $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times d}$ and $0 \in \mathcal{C}$. The set of admissible trading strategies \mathcal{A}_D consists of all d -dimensional predictable processes $\pi = (\pi_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ which satisfy $\int_0^T |\pi_t \sigma_t|^2 dt < \infty$ and $\pi_t \in \mathcal{C}$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., as well as

$$\{\exp(-\alpha X_\tau^\pi) : \tau \text{ stopping time with values in } [0, T]\}$$

is a uniformly integrable family.

So the investor wants to solve the maximization problem

$$V(x) := \sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}_D} E \left[-\exp \left(-\alpha \left(x + \int_0^T \pi_t \frac{dS_t}{S_t} - F \right) \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

where x is the initial wealth. V is called value function.

This problem has been studied by many authors, but they suppose that the constraint is convex in order to apply convex duality. Our starting point of the work is the paper [Hu-Imkeller-Muller, AAP 2005] where both the risk premium θ and the liability F are bounded. The main method can be described as follows.

In order to find the value function and an optimal strategy one constructs a family of stochastic processes $R^{(\pi)}$ with the following properties:

- $R_T^{(\pi)} = -\exp(-\alpha(X_T^\pi - F))$ for all $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_D$;
- $R_0^{(\pi)} = R_0$ is constant for all $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_D$;
- $R^{(\pi)}$ is a supermartingale for all $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_D$ and there exists a $\pi^* \in \mathcal{A}_D$ such that $R^{(\pi^*)}$ is a martingale.

The process $R^{(\pi)}$ and its initial value R_0 depend of course on the initial capital x .

Given processes possessing these properties we can compare the expected utilities of the strategies $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_D$ and $\pi^* \in \mathcal{A}_D$ by

$$E[-\exp(-\alpha(X_T^\pi - F))] \leq R_0(x) = E[-\exp(-\alpha(X_T^{\pi^*} - F))] = V(x), \quad (3)$$

whence π^* is the desired optimal strategy.

Construction of $R^{(\pi)}$:

$$R_t^{(\pi)} := -\exp(-\alpha(X_t^{(\pi)} - Y_t)), \quad t \in [0, T], \pi \in \mathcal{A}_D,$$

where (Y, Z) is a solution of the BSDE

$$Y_t = F - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s + \int_t^T f(s, Z_s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

In these terms one is bound to choose a function f for which $R^{(\pi)}$ is a supermartingale for all $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_D$ and there exists a $\pi^* \in \mathcal{A}_D$ such that $R^{(\pi^*)}$ is a martingale. This function f also depends on the constraint set (\mathcal{C}) where (π_t) takes its values. One gets then

$$V(x) = R_0^{(\pi^*, x)} = -\exp(-\alpha(x - Y_0)), \quad \text{for all } \pi \in \mathcal{A}_D.$$

In order to satisfy the supermartingale and the martingale properties, one finds

$$f(t, z) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \min_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}} |\pi\sigma - (z + \frac{1}{\alpha}\theta_t)|^2 - z\theta_t - \frac{1}{2\alpha} |\theta_t|^2.$$

The function f is well defined because it only depends on the distance between a point and a closed set.

Important: the generator f is of quadratic growth with respect to z !

Lemma

Suppose that both the risk premium θ and the liability F are bounded. Then, the value function of the optimization problem (2) is given by

$$V(x) = -\exp(-\alpha(x - Y_0)),$$

where Y_0 is defined by the unique solution (Y, Z) of the BSDE

$$Y_t = F - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s + \int_t^T f(s, Z_s) ds, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (4)$$

with

$$f(\cdot, z) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \min_{\pi \in \mathcal{C}} |\pi\sigma - (z + \frac{1}{\alpha}\theta)|^2 - z\theta - \frac{1}{2\alpha}|\theta|^2.$$

There exists an optimal trading strategy $\pi^* \in \mathcal{A}_D$ with

$$\pi_t^* \in \operatorname{argmin}\{|\pi\sigma - (Z_t + \frac{1}{\alpha}\theta_t)|, \pi \in \mathcal{C}\}, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad P - a.s. \quad (5)$$

II. Dynamic g Risk Measures (Barrieu-El Karoui, arXiv 2007)

Definition

Assume that (ξ_T, g) satisfies: (1) g is a $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -measurable generator satisfying $z \rightarrow g(t, z)$ is convex, and

$$|g(t, z)| \leq |g(t, 0)| + \frac{k}{2}|z|^2, \quad |g(t, 0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in M;$$

(2) ξ_T is an \mathcal{F}_T -measurable bounded random variable.

Define $\mathcal{R}^g(\xi_T)$ as the unique solution of the BSDE $(-\xi_T, g)$.

Proposition

(Barrieu-El Karoui) \mathcal{R}^g is a dynamic risk measure.

In the case of bounded ξ , B-E established

Proposition

$$\mathcal{R}^{g^A \square g^B}(\xi_T)_t = \mathcal{R}^{g^A} \square \mathcal{R}^{g^B}(\xi_T)_t.$$

Backward Stochastic Differential Equation

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s \quad (\mathbb{E}_{\xi, f})$$

- ξ is the terminal value : \mathcal{F}_T -measurable
- f is the generator
- (Y, Z) is the unknown
- (Y, Z) has to be adapted to \mathcal{F}

Pardoux–Peng, '90

If f is Lipschitz w.r.t. (y, z) and

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\xi|^2 + \int_0^T |f(s, 0, 0)|^2 ds \right] < +\infty$$

$(\mathbb{E}_{\xi, f})$ has a unique square integrable solution.

Nonlinear Feynman-Kac Formula

Semilinear PDE (P)

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t u(t, x) + \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + f(t, x, u(t, x), (\nabla_x u)^{tr} \sigma(t, x)) &= 0, \quad u(T, \cdot) = g, \\ \mathcal{L}u(t, x) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla_x^2 u(t, x)) + b(t, x) \cdot \nabla_x u(t, x).\end{aligned}$$

Linear part \implies SDE

$$X_t^{t_0, x_0} = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}) dB_s$$

Nonlinear part \implies BSDE (B)

$$Y_t^{t_0, x_0} = g(X_T^{t_0, x_0}) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}, Y_s^{t_0, x_0}, Z_s^{t_0, x_0}) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^{t_0, x_0} dB_s$$

Nonlinear Feynman-Kac Formula

If u is smooth solution to (P)

$\left(u \left(t, X_t^{t_0, x_0} \right), (\nabla_x u)^{tr} \sigma \left(t, X_t^{t_0, x_0} \right) \right)$ solves the BSDE (B)

Feynman-Kac's Formula

$u(t, x) := Y_t^{t, x}$ is a (viscosity) solution to (P).

A real valued BSDE

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s \quad (\mathbf{E}_{\xi, f})$$

- B is a Brownian motion in \mathbf{R}^d ;
- ξ is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable;
- the generator $f : [0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^d \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is measurable and
 - $(y, z) \longmapsto f(t, y, z)$ is continuous
 - f is quadratic with respect to z :

$$|f(t, y, z)| \leq \alpha(t) + \beta|y| + \frac{\gamma}{2}|z|^2$$

where $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and α is a nonnegative process.

The bounded case

If ξ and α – or more generally $|\alpha|_1 := \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds$ – are bounded

- Existence
- Uniqueness, Comparison Theorem
- Stability

References:

- M. Kobylanski (AP 2000);
- M.-A. Morlais (non Brownian setting, Ph. D 2007)

These results yield

The Nonlinear Feynman-Kac Formula

- Utility maximization: El Karoui & Rouge (MF 2000), Hu, Imkeller & Muller (AAP 2005) (with closed constraint), Mania & Schweizer (AAP 2005), Becherer (AAP 2006), Morlais (Ph D 2007)
- Stochastic linear quadratic control: Bismut (1970-1979), Peng, Kohlman & Tang, Hu & Zhou (with cone constraint SICON 2005), Schweizer et al.
- Quadratic g risk measure: Barrieu & El Karoui

The unbounded case

- Boundedness of ξ and α is not necessary to construct a solution;
- Exponential moment is enough !

Theorem

Existence of Solution [Briand & Hu, PTRF 2006] Let $\zeta := |\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds$ and let us assume that $\mathbb{E} [\exp (\gamma e^{\beta T} \zeta)] < +\infty$.

Then, $(E_{\xi, f})$ has at least a solution such that

$$|Y_t| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\gamma e^{\beta T} \zeta \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right). \quad (6)$$

Construction : $f \geq 0, \xi \geq 0$

(Y^n, Z^n) minimal solution

$$Y_t^n = \xi \wedge n + \int_t^T \mathbf{1}_{s \leq \sigma_n} f(s, Y_s^n, Z_s^n) ds - \int_t^T Z_s^n dB_s$$
$$\sigma_n = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds \geq n \right\}$$

Step 1: a priori estimate

$$0 \leq Y_t^n \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma e^{\beta T} \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

Step 2: taking the limit in n : Difficult step: Localization procedure

The localization procedure

Main Idea: Work on the interval $[0, \tau_k]$ where

$$\tau_k = \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma e^{\beta T} \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right) \geq k \right\} \wedge T$$

Set $Y_k^n(t) = Y_{t \wedge \tau_k}^n$, $Z_k^n(t) = \mathbf{1}_{t \leq \tau_k} Z_t^n$

$$Y_k^n(t) = Y_{\tau_k}^n + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} \mathbf{1}_{s \leq \sigma_n} f(s, Y_k^n(s), Z_k^n(s)) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} Z_k^n(t) dB_s$$

For fixed k , $(Y_k^n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is nondecreasing, $0 \leq Y_k^n(t) \leq k$

The localization procedure

k fixed, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty}$

$$Y_k(t) = \xi_k + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} f(s, Y_k(s), Z_k(s)) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} Z_k(s) dB_s, \quad \xi_k = \sup_{n \geq 1} Y_{\tau_k}^n$$

- By construction

$$Y_k(t) = Y_{k+1}(t \wedge \tau_k), \quad Z_k(t) = \mathbf{1}_{t \leq \tau_k} Z_{k+1}(t)$$

- Define (Y, Z) by

$$Y_t = Y_k(t), \quad Z_t = Z_k(t) \quad \text{if } t \leq \tau_k$$

$$Y_t = \xi_k + \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_{t \wedge \tau_k}^{\tau_k} Z_s dB_s$$

- $k \rightarrow +\infty$ gives a solution

Questions

Uniqueness ? Stability ? Feynman-Kac formula ?

Answer

When f is convex (or concave) w.r.t. z

Motivation: Stochastic Control Problem (Fuhrman, Hu & Tessitore SICON 2006)

Controlled diffusion process

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s)[dW_s + r(u_s) ds]$$

where u takes its values in a nonempty closed set C .

Minimize the cost functional

$$J(u) = \mathbb{E} \left[g(X_T) + \int_0^T G(t, X_t, u_t) dt \right]$$

over all the admissible controls u .

Associated BSDE

$$Y_t = g(X_T) + \int_t^T f(s, X_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s$$

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X_s) dB_s$$

$$f(t, x, z) = \inf \{ G(t, x, u) + r(u)z : u \in C \}$$

Important feature of the generator

$z \mapsto f(t, x, z)$ is **concave**

Assumptions (H)

There exist $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and a nonnegative process α s.t. \mathbb{P} -a.s.

- f is Lipschitz w.r.t. y : for any t, z ,

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, y', z)| \leq \beta |y - y'|;$$

- quadratic growth in z :

$$|f(t, y, z)| \leq \alpha(t) + \beta |y| + \frac{\gamma}{2} |z|^2;$$

- for any $t, y, z \mapsto f(t, y, z)$ is a convex function;
- ξ is \mathcal{F}_T -measurable and

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \left[|\xi| + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right] \right) \right] < +\infty.$$

Proposition

$(\mathbb{E}_{\xi, f})$ has a solution (Y, Z) s.t.

$$\forall p \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e^{p|Y_t|} + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] \leq C$$

where C depends only on p , T and the exponential moments of $|\xi| + |\alpha|_1$.

- The estimate for Y comes directly from

$$|Y_t| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\gamma e^{\beta T} (|\xi| + |\alpha|_1) \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

- For Z , standard computation starting from Itô's formula to

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left(e^{\gamma|Y_t|} - 1 - \gamma|Y_t| \right)$$

Theorem

Uniqueness of Solution [Briand & Hu, PTRF 2008] Let (Y, Z) and (Y', Z') be solution to $(\mathbb{E}_{\xi, f})$ and $(\mathbb{E}_{\xi', f'})$ where (ξ, f) satisfies (H) and Y, Y' belongs to \mathcal{E} ($\mathcal{E} :=$ exponential moment of all order).

If $\xi \leq \xi'$ and $f \leq f'$ then

$$\forall t \in [0, T], \quad Y_t \leq Y'_t$$

If moreover, $Y_t = Y'_t$ then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\xi = \xi', \int_t^T f(s, Y'_s, Z'_s) ds = \int_t^T f'(s, Y'_s, Z'_s) ds \right) > 0.$$

In particular, $(\mathbb{E}_{\xi, f})$ has a unique solution in the class \mathcal{E} .

Main idea: Estimate of $Y_t - \mu Y'_t$ for $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

Proof: f independent of y

Set, for $\mu \in (0, 1)$, $U_t = Y_t - \mu Y'_t$, $V_t = Z_t - \mu Z'_t$.

$$U_t = U_T + \int_t^T F_s ds - \int_t^T V_s dB_s, \quad F_s = f(s, Z_s) - \mu f'(s, Z'_s)$$

$$F_t = [f(t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Z'_t)] + \mu [f'(t, Z'_t) - f'(t, Z'_t)]$$

and $\delta f(t) := f(t, Z_t) - f(t, Z'_t) \leq 0$.

$$Z_t = \mu Z'_t + (1 - \mu) \frac{Z_t - \mu Z'_t}{1 - \mu}$$

$$f(t, Z_t) = f\left(t, \mu Z'_t + (1 - \mu) \frac{Z_t - \mu Z'_t}{1 - \mu}\right)$$

$$\text{Convexity} \leq \mu f(t, Z'_t) + (1 - \mu) f\left(t, \frac{Z_t - \mu Z'_t}{1 - \mu}\right)$$

$$f(t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Z'_t) \leq (1 - \mu) f\left(t, \frac{V_t}{1 - \mu}\right) \leq (1 - \mu) \alpha(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1 - \mu)} |V_t|^2$$

$$F_t \leq \mu \delta f(t) + (1 - \mu) \alpha(t) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1 - \mu)} |V_t|^2 \quad (7)$$

Second step

An exponential change of variable to remove the quadratic term

$$P_t = e^{cU_t}, \quad Q_t = cP_t V_t, \quad c \geq 0$$

$$P_t = P_T + c \int_t^T P_s \left(F_s - \frac{c}{2} |V_s|^2 \right) ds - \int_t^T Q_s dB_s$$

$c = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \mu}$ yields

$$P_t \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma \int_t^T (\alpha(s) + (1 - \mu)^{-1} \mu \delta f(s)) ds \right] P_T \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

$$P_T = \exp \left(\frac{\gamma}{1 - \mu} (\xi - \mu \xi') \right) = \exp \left(\gamma \left(\xi + \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \delta \xi \right) \right)$$

$$P_t \leq \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) + \gamma \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \left(\delta \xi + \int_t^T \delta f(s) ds \right) \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

In particular,

$$Y_t - \mu Y'_t \leq \frac{1 - \mu}{\gamma} \log \mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left[\gamma \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) \right] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right)$$

and sending μ to 1, we get

$$Y_t - Y'_t \leq 0.$$

Strict Comparison

If $Y_t = Y'_t$, then $P_t = e^{\gamma Y_t}$ and

$$0 < \mathbb{E}[P_t] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\gamma \left(\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right) + \gamma \frac{\mu}{1 - \mu} \left(\delta \xi + \int_t^T \delta f(s) ds \right) \right) \right]$$

Sending μ to 1,

$$0 < \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\gamma \left[\xi + \int_0^T \alpha(s) ds \right] \right) \mathbf{1}_{\delta \xi + \int_t^T \delta f(s) ds = 0} \right]$$

► Press if late

$$F_t = f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f'(t, Y'_t, Z'_t) = f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t) + \mu \delta f(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t) \\ &= f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) + \mu (f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) - f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t)). \end{aligned}$$

Convexity

$$f(t, Y_t, Z_t) - \mu f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) \leq (1 - \mu)(\alpha(t) + \beta|Y_t|) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1 - \mu)}|V_t|^2$$

Linearization: $a(t) = (Y_t - Y'_t)^{-1} (f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) - f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t)) \mathbf{1}_{Y_t - Y'_t \neq 0}$

$$\mu (f(t, Y_t, Z'_t) - f(t, Y'_t, Z'_t)) = \mu a(t) (Y_t - Y'_t) \leq a(t) U_t + (1 - \mu)\beta|Y_t|$$

$$F_t \leq \mu \delta f(t) + (1 - \mu)(\alpha(t) + 2\beta|Y_t|) + \frac{\gamma}{2(1 - \mu)}|V_t|^2 + a(t) U_t.$$

The general case

Set $E_t = \exp\left(\int_0^t a(s) ds\right)$, $\tilde{U}_t = E_t U_t$ and $\tilde{V}_t = E_t V_t$. Then,

$$\tilde{U}_t = \tilde{U}_T + \int_t^T \tilde{F}_s ds - \int_t^T \tilde{V}_s dB_s$$

with, since $|a(t)| \leq \beta$,

$$\tilde{F}_t \leq \mu E_t \delta f(t) + (1 - \mu) E_t (\alpha(t) + 2\beta |Y_t|) + \frac{\gamma e^{\beta T}}{2(1 - \mu)} \left| \tilde{V}_t \right|^2$$

This is the same inequality as before.

Stability

Assume that (ξ_n, f_n) satisfies (H) with α_n, β, γ and

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \sup_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E} [\exp \{ \lambda (|\xi_n| + |\alpha_n|_1) \}] < +\infty.$$

Theorem

If $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ \mathbb{P} -p.s. and $dt \otimes d\mathbb{P}$ -a.e., $\forall (y, z), f_n(t, y, z) \rightarrow f(t, y, z)$, then

$$\forall p \geq 1, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Y_t - Y_t^n|^p + \left(\int_0^T |Z_s - Z_s^n|^2 ds \right)^{p/2} \right] \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof.

Same method as in the proof of comparison theorem to

$$Y_t - \mu Y_t^n, \quad Y_t^n - \mu Y_t$$



- Probabilistic representation for

$$\partial_t u(t, x) + \mathcal{L}u(t, x) + f(t, x, u(t, x), (\nabla_x u)^{tr} \sigma(t, x)) = 0, \quad u(T, \cdot) = g,$$

$$\mathcal{L}u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{trace}(\sigma \sigma^* \nabla_x^2 u(t, x)) + b(t, x) \cdot \nabla_x u(t, x).$$

- The SDE: X^{t_0, x_0} solution to

$$X_t = x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t b(s, X_s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \sigma(s, X_s) dB_s$$

- The BSDE: $(Y^{t_0, x_0}, Z^{t_0, x_0})$ solution to

$$Y_t = g\left(X_T^{t_0, x_0}\right) + \int_t^T f\left(s, X_s^{t_0, x_0}, Y_s, Z_s\right) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s$$

- Nonlinear Feynman-Kac formula: $u(t, x) := Y_t^{t, x}$ is a viscosity solution

Assumptions

- b, σ, f and g are continuous;
- b, σ Lipschitz w.r.t. x

$$|b(t, x) - b(t, x')| + |\sigma(t, x) - \sigma(t, x')| \leq \beta|x - x'|;$$

- restriction: σ is bounded;
- f is Lipschitz w.r.t. y

$$|f(t, x, y, z) - f(t, x, y', z)| \leq \beta|y - y'|;$$

- $z \mapsto f(t, x, y, z)$ is convex;
- $\exists p < 2$ s.t.

$$|g(x)| + |f(t, x, y, z)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p + |y| + |z|^2).$$

Proposition

$u(t, x) := Y_t^{t,x}$ is continuous and

$$|u(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p).$$

Proof.

- Since σ is bounded,

$$\forall \lambda > 0, \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\lambda \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{t_0, x_0}|^p \right) \right] \leq e^{C(1 + |x|^p)}$$

- a priori estimate $|u(t, x)| \leq C(1 + |x|^p)$
- Stability \implies Continuity



u is a viscosity solution

Definition

A continuous function u s.t. $u(T, \cdot) = g$ is a viscosity subsolution (**supersolution**) if, whenever $u - \varphi$ has a local maximum (**minimum**) at (t_0, x_0) where φ is $\mathcal{C}^{1,2}$,

$$\partial_t \varphi(t_0, x_0) + \mathcal{L}\varphi(t_0, x_0) + f(t_0, x_0, u(t_0, x_0), (\nabla_x u)^{tr} \sigma(t_0, x_0)) \geq 0, \quad (\leq 0)$$

Solution = Subsolution + Supersolution

Proposition

$u(t, x) := Y_t^{t,x}$ is a viscosity solution to the PDE.

Proof.

Markov property : $u(t, X_t^{t_0, x_0}) = Y_t^{t_0, x_0}$, and Comparison theorem



- Weaken the integrability assumptions Delbaen, Hu and Richou (Arxiv 2009): Uniqueness holds among solutions which admit some given exponential moments. These exponential moments are natural as they are given by the existence theorem.
- Open Question 1: Prove uniqueness and stability without convexity

$$|f(t, y, z) - f(t, y, z')| \leq C |z - z'| (1 + |z| + |z'|)$$

- Open Question 2: Multi-dimensional quadratic BSDEs and system of quadratic PDEs.

(Arxiv 2009, to appear in PTRF).

Let us consider the following BSDE:

$$Y_t = \xi - \int_t^T g(Z_s) ds + \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \quad (8)$$

where g is convex with $g(0) = 0$, and is superquadratic, i.e.

$$\limsup_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(z)}{|z|^2} = \infty;$$

and ξ is a bounded \mathcal{F}_T -measurable random variable.

The goal here is to look for a solution (Y, Z) such that Y is a bounded process.

Non-existence of solution

Different from BSDEs with quadratic growth, the bounded solution to the BSDE with superquadratic growth does not always exist.

Theorem

(Non-existence) There exists $\eta \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T)$ such that BSDE (8) with sup-quadratic growth has no bounded solution.

Non-uniqueness of solution

Even if the BSDE has a bounded solution, the solutions are not unique. The main reason is that the generator g is superquadratic which makes $\int_0^t g(Z_r) dr$ grow much faster than $\int_0^t Z_r dB_r$ with respect to Z . Following this observation, we can construct other solutions.

Theorem

(Non-uniqueness) If the BSDE (g, ξ) with superquadratic growth has a bounded solution Y for some $\xi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{F}_T)$, then for each $y < Y_0$, there are infinitely many bounded solutions X with $X_0 = y$.

The BSDE with superquadratic growth is ill-posed. However, in the particular Markovian case, solutions to BSDE exist.

Define the diffusion process $X^{t,x}$ be the solution to the SDE:

$$X_s = x + \int_t^s b(r, X_r) dr + \int_t^s \sigma dB_r, t \leq s \leq T, \quad (9)$$

where b is Lipschitz with respect to x , and σ is a constant (matrix).

Let us consider the BSDE (8) with $\xi = \Phi(X_T^{t,x})$:

$$Y_s = \Phi(X_T^{t,x}) - \int_s^T g(Z_r) dr + \int_s^T Z_r dB_r.$$

Theorem

Let us suppose that Φ is bounded and continuous. Then there exists a solution (Y, Z) to Markovian BSDE.

Main tool: if Φ is smooth, we can get an estimate in the spirit of Gilding et al. by use of some martingale method:

$$|Z_t| \leq C \|\Phi\|_\infty (T - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally, we can prove that $u(t, x) = Y_t^{t, x}$ is a viscosity solution of the corresponding PDE.

Remark: Cheridito and Stadje (Arxiv 2010): No discrete convergence for quadratic BSDEs.

Richou (Ph D 2010): numerical simulation applying the above estimate.