

UTILITY MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM UNDER MODEL UNCERTAINTY INCLUDING JUMPS

Anis Matoussi

University of Maine, Le Mans - France

and

Research Associate, CMAP- Ecole Polytechnique

"Chaire Risque Financiers"

Roscoff, March 18-23, 2010

PLAN DE L'EXPOSÉ

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 3 A BSDE DESCRIPTION FOR THE DYNAMIC VALUE PROCESS
- 4 THE DISCONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE
- 5 COMPARISON THEOREM AND REGULARITIES FOR THE BSDE
- 6 MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 7 THE LOGARITHMIC CASE

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 3 A BSDE DESCRIPTION FOR THE DYNAMIC VALUE PROCESS
- 4 THE DISCONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE
- 5 COMPARISON THEOREM AND REGULARITIES FOR THE BSDE
- 6 MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 7 THE LOGARITHMIC CASE

- 1 **Bordigoni G., M. A., Schweizer, M.** : A Stochastic control approach to a robust utility maximization problem. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications. Proceedings of the Second Abel Symposium, Oslo, 2005, Springer, 125-151 (2007)*.
- 2 **Faidi, W., M.,A., Mnif, M.** : Maximization of recursive utilities : A Dynamic Programming Principle Approach. Preprint (2010).
- 3 **Jeanblanc, M., M. A., Ngoupeyou, A.** : Robust utility maximization in a discontinuous filtration. Preprint (2010).

PROBLEM

We present a problem of *utility maximization* under *model uncertainty* :

$$\sup_{\pi} \inf_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{U}(\pi, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where

- π runs through a set of strategies (portfolios, investment decisions, ...)
- \mathbb{Q} runs through a set of models \mathcal{Q} .

ONE KNOWN MODEL CASE

- If we have a one known model \mathbb{P} : in this case, $\mathcal{Q} = \{\mathbb{P}\}$ for \mathbb{P} a given reference probability measure and $\mathbf{U}(\pi, \mathbb{P})$ has the form of a \mathbb{P} -expected utility from terminal wealth and/or consumption, namely

$$\mathbf{U}(\pi, \mathbb{P}) = \mathbb{E}(U(X_T^\pi))$$

where

- X^π is the wealth process
- and
- U is some utility function.

REFERENCES : DUAL APPROACH

- Schachermayer (2001) (one single model)
- Becherer (2007) (one single model)
- Schied (2007), Schied and Wu (2005)
- Föllmer and Gundel, Gundel (2005)

REFERENCES : BSDE APPROACH

- El Karoui, Quenez and Peng (2001) : Dynamic maximum principle (one single model)
- Hu, Imkeller and Mueller (2001) (one single model)
- Barrieu and El Karoui (2007) : Pricing, Hedging and Designing Derivatives with Risk Measures (one single model)
- Lazrak-Quenez (2003), Quenez (2004), $\mathcal{Q} \neq \{\mathbb{P}\}$ but one keep $\mathbf{U}(\pi, \mathbb{Q})$ as an expected utility
- Duffie and Epstein (1992), Duffie and Skiadas (1994), Skiadas (2003), Schroder & Skiadas (1999, 2003, 2005) : Stochastic Differential Utility and BSDE.
- **Hansen & Sargent** : they discuss the problem of robust utility maximization when model uncertainty is penalized by a relative entropy term.

EXAMPLE : ROBUST CONTROL WITHOUT MAXIMIZATION

- Let us consider an agent with time-additive expected utility over consumptions paths :

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T e^{-\delta t} u(c_t) dt \right].$$

with respect to some model $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P}, (B_t)_{t \geq 0})$ where $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is Brownian motion under \mathbb{P} .

- Suppose that the agent has some preference to use another model \mathbb{P}^θ under which :

$$B_t^\theta = B_t - \int_0^t \theta_s ds$$

is a Brownian motion.

EXAMPLE

- The agent evaluate the distance between the two models in term of the relative entropy of \mathbb{P}^θ with respect to the reference measure \mathbb{P} :

$$\mathcal{R}^\theta = \mathbb{E}^\theta \left[\int_0^T e^{-\delta t} |\theta_t|^2 dt \right]$$

- In this example, our robust control problem will take the form :

$$V_0 := \inf_{\theta} \left[\mathbb{E}^\theta \left[\int_0^T e^{-\delta t} u(c_t) dt \right] + \beta \mathcal{R}^\theta \right].$$

- The answer of this problem will be that : $V_0 = Y_0$ where Y is solution of BSDE or recursion equation :

$$Y_t = \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^T e^{-\delta(s-t)} (u(c_s) ds - \frac{1}{2\beta} d\langle Y \rangle_s) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right],$$

- This an example of Stochastic differential utility (SDU) introduced by **Duffie and Epstein (1992)**.

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM**
- 3 A BSDE DESCRIPTION FOR THE DYNAMIC VALUE PROCESS
- 4 THE DISCONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE
- 5 COMPARISON THEOREM AND REGULARITIES FOR THE BSDE
- 6 MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 7 THE LOGARITHMIC CASE

PRELIMINARY AND ASSUMPTIONS

Let us given :

- Final horizon : $T < \infty$
- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a filtered probability space where $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is a filtration satisfying the usual conditions of right-continuity and \mathbb{P} -completeness.

- Possible scenarios given by

$\mathcal{Q} := \{\mathbb{Q} \text{ probability measure on } \Omega \text{ such that } \mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_T\}$

- the density process of $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}$ is the càdlàg \mathbb{P} -martingale

$$Z_t^{\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

- we may identify $Z^{\mathbb{Q}}$ with \mathbb{Q} .
- Discounting process : $S_t^\delta := \exp(-\int_0^t \delta_s ds)$ with a discount rate process $\delta = \{\delta_t\}_{0 \leq t \leq T}$.

PRELIMINARY

- Let $\mathcal{U}_{t,T}^\delta(\mathbb{Q})$ be a quantity given by

$$\mathcal{U}_{t,T}^\delta(\mathbb{Q}) = \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s \delta_r dr} U_s ds + e^{-\int_t^T \delta_r dr} \bar{U}_T$$

- where $U = (U_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a utility rate process which comes from consumption and \bar{U}_T is the terminal utility at time T which corresponds to final wealth.
- Let $\mathcal{R}_{t,T}^\delta(\mathbb{Q})$ be a penalty term

$$\mathcal{R}_{t,T}^\delta(\mathbb{Q}) = \int_t^T \delta_s e^{-\int_t^s \delta_r dr} \log \frac{Z_s^{\mathbb{Q}}}{Z_t^{\mathbb{Q}}} ds + e^{-\int_t^T \delta_r dr} \log \frac{Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}}}{Z_t^{\mathbb{Q}}}.$$

for $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$ on \mathcal{F}_T .

COST FUNCTIONAL

- We consider the cost functional

$$c(\omega, \mathbb{Q}) := \mathcal{U}_{0,T}^{\delta}(\mathbb{Q}) + \beta \mathcal{R}_{0,T}^{\delta}(\mathbb{Q}) .$$

with $\beta > 0$ is a constant which determines the strength of this penalty term.

- Our first goal is to

minimize the functional $\mathbb{Q} \mapsto \Gamma(\mathbb{Q}) := \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[c(\cdot, \mathbb{Q})]$

over a suitable class of probability measures $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$ on \mathcal{F}_T .

RELATIVE ENTROPY

- Under the reference probability \mathbb{P} the cost functional $\Gamma(\mathbb{Q})$ can be written :

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}} \left(\int_0^T S_s^{\delta} U_s ds + S_T^{\delta} \bar{U}_T \right) \right] \\ &+ \beta \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^{\delta} Z_s^{\delta} \log Z_s^{\mathbb{Q}} ds + S_T^{\delta} Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}} \log Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}} \right].\end{aligned}$$

- The second term is a discounted relative entropy with both an entropy rate as well a terminal entropy :

$$H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} \left[\log Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}} \right], & \text{if } \mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P} \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_T \\ +\infty, & \text{if not} \end{cases}$$

FUNCTIONAL SPACES

- L^{exp} is the space of all \mathcal{G}_T -measurable random variables X with

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [\exp (\gamma|X|)] < \infty \quad \text{for all } \gamma > 0$$

- D_0^{exp} is the space of progressively measurable processes $y = (y_t)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\exp \left(\gamma \text{ess sup}_{0 \leq t \leq T} |y_t| \right) \right] < \infty, \quad \text{for all } \gamma > 0.$$

- D_1^{exp} is the space of progressively measurable processes $y = (y_t)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\exp \left(\gamma \int_0^T |y_s| ds \right) \right] < \infty \quad \text{for all } \gamma > 0.$$

FUNCTIONAL SPACES AND HYPOTHESES (I)

- $\mathcal{M}^p(\mathbb{P})$ is the space of all \mathbb{P} -martingales $M = (M_t)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ such that $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |M_t|^p) < \infty$.
- **Assumption (A)** : $0 \leq \delta \leq \|\delta\|_{\infty} < \infty$, $U \in D_1^{\text{exp}}$ and $\bar{U}_T \in L^{\text{exp}}$.
- Denote by \mathcal{Q}_f is the space of all probability measures \mathbb{Q} on (Ω, \mathcal{G}_T) with $\mathbb{Q} \ll \mathbb{P}$ on \mathcal{G}_T and $H(\mathbb{Q}|\mathbb{P}) < +\infty$, then :
- For simplicity we will take $\beta = 1$.

THEOREM (BORDIGONI G., M. A., SCHWEIZER, M.)

There exists a unique \mathbb{Q}^ which minimizes $\Gamma(\mathbb{Q})$ over all $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_f$:*

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{Q}^*) = \inf_{\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_f} \Gamma(\mathbb{Q})$$

Furthermore, \mathbb{Q}^ is equivalent to \mathbb{P} .*

THE CASE : $\delta = 0$

- The special case $\delta = 0$ corresponds to the cost functional

$$\Gamma(Q) = \mathbb{E}^Q [U_{0,T}^0] + \beta H(Q|P) = \beta H(Q|P_U) - \beta \log \mathbb{E}^P \left[\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\beta} U_{0,T}^0 \right) \right].$$

where $P_U \approx P$ and $\frac{dP_U}{dP} = c \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\beta} U_{0,T}^0 \right)$.

- **Csiszar** (1997) have proved the existence and uniqueness of the optimal measure $Q^* \approx P_U$ which minimize the relative entropy $H(Q|P_U)$.
- **I. Csiszár** : I -divergence geometry of probability distributions and minimization problems. *Annals of Probability* **3**, p. 146-158 (1975).

PLAN

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 3 A BSDE DESCRIPTION FOR THE DYNAMIC VALUE PROCESS**
- 4 THE DISCONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE
- 5 COMPARISON THEOREM AND REGULARITIES FOR THE BSDE
- 6 MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 7 THE LOGARITHMIC CASE

DYNAMIC STOCHASTIC CONTROL PROBLEM

We embed the minimization of $\Gamma(Q)$ in a stochastic control problem :

- The minimal conditional cost

$$J(\tau, Q) := Q - \text{ess inf}_{Q' \in \mathcal{D}(Q, \tau)} \Gamma(\tau, Q')$$

with $\Gamma(\tau, Q) := \mathbb{E}_Q [c(\cdot, Q) | \mathcal{F}_\tau]$,

- $\mathcal{D}(Q, \tau) = \{Z^{Q'} \mid Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_f \text{ et } Q' = Q \text{ sur } \mathcal{F}_\tau\}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$.
- So, we can write our optimization problem as

$$\inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f} \Gamma(Q) = \inf_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_f} \mathbb{E}^Q [c(\cdot, Q)] = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} [J(0, Q)].$$

- We obtain the following martingale optimality principle from stochastic control :

We have obtained by following **El Karoui** (1981) :

PROPOSITION (BORDIGONI G., M. A., SCHWEIZER, M.)

- 1 *The family $\{J(\tau, \mathbb{Q}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_f\}$ is a submartingale system ;*
- 2 *$\tilde{\mathbb{Q}} \in \mathcal{Q}_f$ is optimal if and only if $\{J(\tau, \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \tau \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is a $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ -martingale system ;*
- 3 *For each $\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_f$, there exists an adapted RCLL process $J^\mathbb{Q} = (J_t^\mathbb{Q})_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ which is a right closed \mathbb{Q} -submartingale such that*

$$J_T^\mathbb{Q} = J(\tau, \mathbb{Q})$$

SEMIMARTINGALE DECOMPOSITION OF THE VALUE PROCESS

- We define for all $Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_f^e$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{S}$:

$$\tilde{V}(\tau, Q') := \mathbb{E}^{Q'} \left[\mathcal{U}_{\tau, T}^\delta \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau \right] + \beta \mathbb{E}_{Q'} \left[\mathcal{R}_{\tau, T}^\delta(Q') \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau \right]$$

- The value of the control problem started at time τ instead of 0 is :

$$V(\tau, Q) := Q - \text{ess inf}_{Q' \in \mathcal{D}(Q, \tau)} \tilde{V}(\tau, Q')$$

- So we can equally well take the ess inf under $\mathbb{P} \approx Q$ and over all $Q' \in \mathcal{Q}_f$ and $V(\tau) \equiv V(\tau, Q')$ and one proves that V is \mathbb{P} -special semimartingale with canonical decomposition

$$V = V_0 + M^V + A^V$$

SEMIMARTINGALE BSDE : CONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE

- We assume that $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \leq T}$ is continuous.
- Let first consider the following quadratic semimartingale BSDE with :

DEFINITION (BORDIGONI G., M. A., SCHWEIZER, M.)

A solution of the BSDE is a pair of processes (Y, M) such that Y is a \mathbb{P} -semimartingale and M is a locally square-integrable locally martingale with $M_0 = 0$ such that :

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = (U_t - \delta_t Y_t)dt - \frac{1}{2\beta} d \langle M \rangle_t - dM_t \\ Y_T = \bar{U}_T \end{cases}$$

- Note that Y is then automatically \mathbb{P} -special, and that if M is continuous, so is Y .

REMARK

- If $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}^W$, for a given Brownian motion, then the semimartingale BSDE takes the standard form of quadratic BSDE :

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = \left(U_t - \delta_t Y_t - \frac{1}{2\beta} |Z_t|^2 \right) dt - Z_t \cdot dW_t \\ Y_T = \bar{U}_T \end{cases}$$

- Kobylanski (2000), Lepeltier et San Martin (1998), El Karoui and Hamadène (2003), Briand and Hu (2005, 2007).
- Hu, Imkeler and Mueller (06), Morlais (2008), Mania and Tevzadze (2006), Tevzadze (SPA, 2009)

A^V AND M^V : THE CONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE

THEOREM (BORDIGONI G., M. A., SCHWEIZER, M.)

Assume that \mathbb{F} is continuous. Then the couple (V, M^V) is the unique solution in $D_0^{\text{exp}} \times \mathcal{M}_{0, \text{loc}}(\mathbb{P})$ of the BSDE

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = (U_t - \delta_t Y_t)dt - \frac{1}{2\beta} d \langle M \rangle_t - dM_t \\ Y_T = U'_T \end{cases}$$

- Moreover, $\mathcal{E}\left(-\frac{1}{\beta}M^V\right) = Z^{\mathbb{Q}^*}$ is a \mathbb{P} -martingale such that its supremum belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{P})$ where \mathbb{Q}^* is the optimal probability.
- We have also that $M^V \in \mathcal{M}_0^p(\mathbb{P})$ for every $p \in [0, +\infty[$

RECURSIVE RELATION

LEMMA

Let (Y, M) be a solution of BSDE with M continuous. Assume that $Y \in D_0^{\text{exp}}$ or $\mathcal{E}\left(-\frac{1}{\beta}M\right)$ is \mathbb{P} -martingale.

For any pair of stopping times $\sigma \leq \tau$, then we have the recursive relation

$$Y_\sigma = -\beta \log \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \int_\sigma^\tau (\delta_s Y_s - \alpha U_s) ds - \frac{1}{\beta} Y_\tau \right) \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma \right]$$

- As a consequence one gets the uniqueness result for the semimartingale BSDE.
- In the case where $\delta = 0$, then this yields to the entropic dynamic risk measure.

PLAN

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 3 A BSDE DESCRIPTION FOR THE DYNAMIC VALUE PROCESS
- 4 THE DISCONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE**
- 5 COMPARISON THEOREM AND REGULARITIES FOR THE BSDE
- 6 MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 7 THE LOGARITHMIC CASE

THE MODEL (I)

- We consider a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{G}, \mathbb{P})$. All the processes are taken \mathbb{G} -adapted, and are defined on the time interval $[0, T]$.
- Any special \mathbb{G} -semimartingale Y admits a canonical decomposition $Y = Y_0 + A + M^{Y,c} + Y^{Y,d}$ where A is a predictable finite variation process, Y^c is a continuous martingale and $M^{Y,d}$ is a pure discontinuous martingale.
- For each $i = 1, \dots, n$, H^i is a counting process and there exist a positive adapted process λ^i , called the \mathbb{P} intensity of H^i , such that the process N^i with $N_t^i := H_t^i - \int_0^t \lambda_s^i ds$ is a martingale.
- We assume that the processes $H^i, i = 1, \dots, d$ have no common jumps.

- Any discontinuous martingale admits a representation of the

$$dM_t^{Y,d} = \sum_{i=1}^d \hat{Y}_t^i dN_t^i$$

where $\hat{Y}^i, i = 1, \dots, d$ are predictable processes.

THE MODEL :EXAMPLE FROM CREDIT RISK

EXAMPLE (UNDER IMMERSION PROPERTY)

- We assume that \mathbb{G} is the filtration generated by a continuous reference filtration \mathbb{F} and d positive random times τ_1, \dots, τ_d which are the default times of d firms : $\mathbb{G} = (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ where

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} \mathcal{F}_{t+\epsilon} \vee \sigma(\tau_1 \wedge t + \epsilon) \vee \sigma(\tau_2 \wedge t + \epsilon) \cdots \vee \sigma(\tau_d \wedge t + \epsilon)$$

where $\sigma(\tau_i \wedge t + \epsilon)$ is the generated σ -fields which is non random before the default times τ_i for each $i = 1, \dots, d$.

- we note $H_t^i = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_i \leq t\}}$.
- We assume that each τ_i is \mathbb{G} -totally inaccessible and there exists a positive \mathbb{G} -adapted process λ^i such that, the process N^i with $N_t^i := H_t^i - \int_0^t \lambda_s^i ds$ is a \mathbb{G} -martingale.
- Obviously, the process λ^i is null after the default time τ_i .

THE MODEL :EXAMPLE FROM CREDIT RISK

EXAMPLE

- *From Kusuoka, the representation of the discontinuous martingale $M^{Y,d}$ with respect to N^i holds true when the filtration \mathbb{G} is generated by a Brownian motion and the default processes.*

SEMIMARTINGALE BSDE WITH JUMPS

- Let first consider the following quadratic semimartingale BSDE with jumps :

DEFINITION

A solution of the BSDE is a triple of processes $(Y, M^{Y,c}, \hat{Y})$ such that Y is a P -semimartingale, M is a locally square-integrable locally martingale with $M_0 = 0$ and $\hat{Y} = (\hat{Y}^1, \dots, \hat{Y}^d)$ a \mathbb{R}^d -valued predictable locally bounded process such that :

$$\begin{cases} dY_t = \left[\sum_{i=1}^d g(\hat{Y}_t^i) \lambda_t^i - U_t + \delta_t Y_t \right] dt + \frac{1}{2} d\langle M^{Y,c} \rangle_t + dM_t^{Y,c} + \sum_{i=1}^d \hat{Y}_t^i dN_t^i \\ Y_T = \bar{U}_T \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $g(x) = e^{-x} + x - 1$.

EXISTENCE RESULT

THEOREM (JEANBLANC, M., M. A., NGOUPEYOU A.)

- *There exists a unique triple of process $(Y, M^{Y,c}, \hat{Y}) \in D_0^{\text{exp}} \times \mathcal{M}_{0,loc}(P) \times \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda)$ solution of the semimartingale BSDE with jumps.*
- *Furthermore, the optimal measure \mathbb{Q}^* solution of our minimization problem is given :*

$$dZ_t^{\mathbb{Q}^*} = Z_{t-}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} dL_t^{\mathbb{Q}^*}, \quad Z_0^{\mathbb{Q}^*} = 1$$

where

$$dL_t^{\mathbb{Q}^*} = -dM_t^{Y,c} + \sum_{i=1}^d \left(e^{-\hat{Y}_t^i} - 1 \right) dN_t^i.$$

PLAN

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 3 A BSDE DESCRIPTION FOR THE DYNAMIC VALUE PROCESS
- 4 THE DISCONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE
- 5 COMPARISON THEOREM AND REGULARITIES FOR THE BSDE**
- 6 MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 7 THE LOGARITHMIC CASE

COMPARISON THEOREM FOR OUR BSDE

THEOREM (JEANBLANC, M., M. A., NGOUPEYOU A.)

Assume that for $k = 1, 2$, $(Y^k, M^{Y^k, c}, \widehat{Y}^k)$ is solution of the BSDE associated to $(\widetilde{U}^k, \bar{U}^k)$. Then one have

$$Y_t^1 - Y_t^2 \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,2}} \left[\int_t^T \frac{S_s^\delta}{S_t^\delta} (U_s^1 - U_s^2) ds + \frac{S_T^\delta}{S_t^\delta} (\bar{U}_T^1 - \bar{U}_T^2) \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right]$$

where $\mathbb{Q}^{*,2}$ the probability measure equivalent to \mathbb{P} given by

$$\frac{dZ_t^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,2}}}{Z_{t-}^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,2}}} = -dM_t^{Y^2, c} + \sum_{i=1}^d (e^{-\widehat{Y}_t^{i,2}} - 1) dN_t^i.$$

In particular, if $U^1 \leq U^2$ and $\bar{U}_T^1 \leq \bar{U}_T^2$, one obtains

$$Y_t^1 \leq Y_t^2, \quad d\mathbb{P} \otimes dt\text{-a.e.}$$

IDEA OF THE PROOF (I)

PROOF

We denote $\widehat{Y}^{i,12} := \widehat{Y}^{i,1} - \widehat{Y}^{i,2}$ and $M^{12,c} = M^{1,c} - M^{2,c}$. Then :

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{12} &= \bar{U}_T^{12} + \int_t^T \left(\widetilde{U}_s^{12} - \delta_s Y_s^{12} \right) ds - \sum_{i=1}^d \int_t^T \widehat{Y}_s^{i,12} dN_s^i \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^d \int_t^T \left[g(\widehat{Y}_s^{i,1}) - g(\widehat{Y}_s^{i,2}) \right] \lambda_s^i ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \left(d\langle M^{2,c} \rangle_s - d\langle M^{1,c} \rangle_s \right) - \int_t^T dM_s^{12,c} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

IDEA OF THE PROOF (II)

PROOF

Note that, for any pair of continuous martingales M^1, M^2 , denoting $M^{12} = M^1 - M^2$:

$$- \langle M^2, M^{12} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle M^2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle M^1 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle M^{12} \rangle$$

Using the fact that the process $\langle M^{12} \rangle$ is increasing and that the function g is convex we get :

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^{12} &\leq \bar{U}_T^{12} + \int_t^T \left(\tilde{U}_s^{12} - \delta_s Y_s^{12} \right) ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^d \int_t^T (e^{-\hat{Y}_s^{i,2}} - 1) \hat{Y}_s^{i,12} \lambda_s^i ds - \int_t^T d \langle M^{2,c}, M^{12,c} \rangle_s \\ &- \int_t^T dM_s^{12,c} - \sum_{i=1}^d \int_t^T \hat{Y}_s^{i,12} dN_s^i. \end{aligned}$$

IDEA OF THE PROOF (III)

PROOF

- Let N^* and $M^{*,c}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}^{*,2}$ -martingales obtained by Girsanov's transformation from N and M^c , where $d\mathbb{Q}^{*,2} = Z^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,2}} d\mathbb{P}$.
- Then :

$$Y_t^{12} \leq \bar{U}_T^{12} + \int_t^T (\tilde{U}_s^{12} - \delta_s Y_s^{12}) ds - \sum_{i=1}^d \int_t^T \hat{Y}_s^{i,12} dN_s^{i*} - \int_t^T dM_s^{*,c}$$

which implies that

$$Y_t^{12} \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,2}} \left[\int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s \delta_r dr} \tilde{U}_s^{12} ds + e^{-\int_t^T \delta_r dr} \bar{U}_T^{12} \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right]$$

CONCAVITY PROPERTY FOR THE SEMIMARTINGALE BSDE

THEOREM

Let define the map $F : D_1^{\text{exp}} \times D_0^{\text{exp}} \longrightarrow D_0^{\text{exp}}$ such that for all $(U, \bar{U}) \in D_1^{\text{exp}} \times D_0^{\text{exp}}$, we have

$$F(U, \bar{U}) = V$$

where $(V, M^{V,c}, \hat{V})$ is the solution of BSDE associated to (U, \bar{U}) . Then F is concave, namely,

$$F\left(\theta U^1 + (1 - \theta)\tilde{U}^2, \theta \bar{U}_T^1 + (1 - \theta)\bar{U}_T^2\right) \geq \theta F(U^1, \bar{U}_T^1) + (1 - \theta)F(U^2, \bar{U}_T^2).$$

PLAN

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 3 A BSDE DESCRIPTION FOR THE DYNAMIC VALUE PROCESS
- 4 THE DISCONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE
- 5 COMPARISON THEOREM AND REGULARITIES FOR THE BSDE
- 6 MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM**
- 7 THE LOGARITHMIC CASE

PROBLEM : RECURSIVE UTILITY PROBLEM

- we assume that $U_s = \tilde{U}(c_s)$ and $\bar{U}_T = \bar{U}(\psi)$ where \tilde{U} and \bar{U} are given utility functions, c is a non-negative \mathbb{G} -adapted process and ψ a \mathcal{G}_T -measurable non-negative random variable.
- We study the following optimization problem :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{(c, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T S_s^\delta U(c_s) ds + S_T^\delta \bar{U}(\psi) \right] \\ & + \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[\int_0^T \delta_s S_s^\delta \ln Z_s^{\mathbb{Q}^*} ds + S_T^\delta \ln Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \right] := \sup_{(c, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)} V_0^{x, \psi, c} \end{aligned}$$

where V_0 is the value at initial time of the value process V , part of the solution (V, M^V, \hat{V}) of our BSDE, in the case $U_s = U(c_s)$ and $\bar{U}_T = \bar{U}(\psi)$.

PROBLEM : RECURSIVE UTILITY PROBLEM

- The set $\mathcal{A}(x)$ is the convex set of controls parameters $(c, \psi) \in \mathcal{H}^2([0, T]) \times \mathbf{L}^2(\Omega, \mathcal{G}_T)$ such that :

$$\mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\int_0^T c_t dt + \psi \right] \leq x,$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is a fixed pricing measure, i.e. a probability $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ equivalent to \mathbb{P} with a Radon-Nikodym density \tilde{Z} with respect to \mathbb{P} given by :

$$d\tilde{Z}_t = \tilde{Z}_{t-} (\theta_t dM_t^c + \sum_{i=1}^n (e^{-z_t^i} - 1) dN_t^i), \quad \tilde{Z}_0 = 1 .$$

- Here, \mathbb{Q}^* is the optimal model measure depends on c, ψ .
- In a complete market setting, the process c can be interpreted as a consumption, ψ as a terminal wealth, with the pricing measure $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is the risk neutral probability.

ASSUMPTIONS ON THE UTILITY FUNCTIONS

- The utility functions U and \bar{U} satisfy the usual regular conditions :
 - 1 Strictly increasing and concave.
 - 2 Continuous differentiable on the set $\{U > -\infty\}$ and $\{\bar{U} > -\infty\}$, respectively,
 - 3 $U'(\infty) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} U'(x) = 0$ and $\bar{U}'(\infty) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \bar{U}'(x) = 0$,
 - 4 $U'(0) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} U'(x) = +\infty$ and $\bar{U}'(0) := \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \bar{U}'(x) = +\infty$,
 - 5 Asymptotic elasticity $AE(U) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{xU'(x)}{U(x)} < 1$.

PROPERTIES OF THE VALUE FUNCTION

PROPOSITION

Let $G : \mathcal{A}(x) \rightarrow D_0^{\text{exp}}$, as $G(\mathbf{c}, \psi) = V$ where $(V, M^{V, \mathbf{c}}, \widehat{V})$ is the solution of the BSDE associated with $(U(\mathbf{c}), \bar{U}(\psi))$. Then

- 1 G is strictly concave with respect to (\mathbf{c}, ψ) ,
- 2 Let $G_0(\mathbf{c}, \psi)$ be the value at initial time of $G(\mathbf{c}, \psi)$, i.e., $G_0(\mathbf{c}, \psi) = V_0$. Then $G_0(\mathbf{c}, \psi)$ is continuous from above with respect to (\mathbf{c}, ψ) ,
- 3 G_0 is upper continuous with respect to (\mathbf{c}, ψ) .

REGULARITY RESULT ON THE VALUE FUNCTION

THEOREM

- $(V^1, M^{1,c}, \widehat{V}^1)$ the solution associated with $(U(c^1), \bar{U}(\psi^1))$ for a given (c^1, ψ^1) .
- Let $(V^\epsilon, M^{\epsilon,c}, \widehat{V}^\epsilon)$ be the solution of the BSDE associated with $(U(c^1 + \epsilon(c^2 - c^1)), \bar{U}(\psi^1 + \epsilon(\psi^2 - \psi^1)))$ for a given (c^2, ψ^2) .
- Then V^ϵ is right differentiable in 0 with respect to ϵ and the triple $(\partial_\epsilon V, \partial_\epsilon \widetilde{M}^{V,c}, \partial_\epsilon \widehat{V})$ is the solution of the following BSDE :

$$\begin{cases} d\partial_\epsilon V_t = \left(\delta_t \partial_\epsilon V_t - U'(c_t^1)(c_t^2 - c_t^1) \right) dt + d\partial_\epsilon \widetilde{M}_t^{V,c} + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_\epsilon \widehat{V}_t^i d\widetilde{N}_t^i \\ \partial_\epsilon V_T = \bar{U}'(\psi^1)(\psi^2 - \psi^1) \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{N}^i = N^i - \int_0^\cdot (e^{-v_t^{1,i}} - 1) \lambda_t^i dt$

REGULARITY RESULT ON THE VALUE FUNCTION

THEOREM

Moreover, we obtain

$$\partial_\epsilon V_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\frac{Z_T^{Q^{*,1}}}{Z_t^{Q^{*,1}}} \frac{S_T^\delta}{S_t^\delta} \bar{U}'(\psi^1)(\psi^2 - \psi^1) + \int_t^T \frac{Z_s^{Q^{*,1}}}{Z_t^{Q^{*,1}}} \frac{S_s^\delta}{S_t^\delta} U'(c_s^1)(c_s^2 - c_s^1) ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right]$$

UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

- we solve first an equivalent **unconstrained problem** to the optimization problem : we associate with a pair $(c, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)$ the quantity

$$X_0^{c,\psi} = \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left(\int_0^T c_s ds + \psi \right)$$

- In a complete market setting, $X^{c,\psi}$ is the initial value of the associated wealth.
- Define by

$$u(x) := \sup_{X_0^{c,\psi} \leq x} V_0^{(c,\psi)} \quad (3)$$

where $V_0^{(c,\psi)} = V_0$, $(V, M^{V,c}, \hat{V})$ is the solution of the BSDE associated with $(U(c), \bar{U}(\psi))$.

UNCONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

PROPOSITION

There exists an unique optimal pair (c^0, ψ^0) which solves the unconstrained optimization problem.

PROOF

- *The uniqueness is a consequence of the strictly concavity property of V_0 .*
- *We shall prove the existence by using Komlòs theorem.*
- *We first Step prove that $\sup_{(c,\phi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)} V_0^{c,\phi} < +\infty$:*

Because $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{Q}_f^e$, we have :

$$\sup_{(c,\phi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)} V_0^{c,\phi} \leq \sup_{(c,\phi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[\bar{U}(\phi) + \int_0^T U(c_s) ds \right] := \tilde{u}(x).$$

PROOF (2)

PROOF

- Using the elasticity assumption on U and \bar{U} , we can prove that $AE(\tilde{u}) < 1$, which permits to conclude that, for any $x > 0$, $\tilde{u}(x) < +\infty$.
- Let $(c^n, \phi^n) \in \mathcal{A}(x)$ be a maximizing sequence such that :

$$\nearrow \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} V_0^{c^n, \phi^n} = \sup_{(c, \phi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)} V_0^{c, \phi} < +\infty,$$

where the RHS is finite.

- Then conclude by Using Komlòs theorem.

OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM

THEOREM

- *There exists a constant $\nu^* > 0$ such that :*

$$u(x) = \sup_{(c,\psi)} \left\{ V_0^{(c,\psi)} + \nu^* \left(x - X^{(c,\psi)} \right) \right\}$$

and if the maximum is attained in the above constraint problem by (c^, ψ^*) then it is attained in the unconstraint problem by (c^*, ψ^*) with $X^{(c,\psi)} = x$.*

- *Conversely if there exists $\nu^0 > 0$ and (c^0, ψ^0) such that the maximum is attained in*

$$\sup_{(c,\psi)} \left\{ V_0^{(c,\psi)} + \nu^0 \left(x - X_0^{(c,\psi)} \right) \right\}$$

with $X_0^{(c,\psi)} = x$, then the maximum is attained in our constraint problem by (c^0, ψ^0) .

THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE (1)

- We now study for a fixed $\nu > 0$ the following optimization problem :

$$\sup_{(c, \psi)} L(c, \psi) \quad (4)$$

where the functional L is given by $L(c, \psi) = V_0^{(c, \psi)} - \nu X_0^{(c, \psi)}$

PROPOSITION (JEANBLANC, M., M. A., NGOUPEYOU A.)

The optimal consumption plan (c^0, ψ^0) which solves (4) satisfies the following equations :

$$U'(c_t^0) = \frac{Z_t^{\tilde{P}}}{Z_t^{Q^*}} \frac{\nu}{\alpha S_t^\delta} \quad \bar{U}'(\psi^0) = \frac{Z_T^{\tilde{P}}}{Z_T^{Q^*}} \frac{\nu}{\bar{\alpha} S_T^\delta} \text{ a.s} \quad (5)$$

where Q^ is the model measure associated to the optimal consumption (c^0, ψ^0) .*

THE MAIN STEPS OF THE PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION

(I)

- Let consider the optimal consumption plan (c^0, ψ^0) which solve (4) and another consumption plan (c, ψ) . Consider $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ then :

$$L(c^0 + \epsilon(c - c^0), \psi^0 + \epsilon(\psi - \psi^0)) \leq L(c^0, \psi^0)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon} [V_0^{(c^0 + \epsilon(c - c^0), \psi^0 + \epsilon(\psi - \psi^0))} - V_0^{(c^0, \psi^0)}] \\ & - \nu \frac{1}{\epsilon} [X_0^{(c^0 + \epsilon(c - c^0), \psi^0 + \epsilon(\psi - \psi^0))} - X_0^{(c^0, \psi^0)}] \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Because $(X_t^{(c, \psi)} + \int_0^t c_s ds)_{t \geq 0}$ is a $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ martingale we obtain :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon} [X_t^{(c^0 + \epsilon(c - c^0), \psi^0 + \epsilon(\psi - \psi^0))} - X_t^{(c^0, \psi^0)}] \\ & = \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\int_t^T (c_s - c_s^0) ds + (\psi - \psi^0) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right] \end{aligned}$$

THE MAIN STEPS OF THE PROOF (II)

- Then the wealth process is right differential in 0 with respect to ϵ we define

$$\partial_{\epsilon} X_t^{(c^0, \psi^0)} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} (X_t^{(c^0 + \epsilon(c - c^0), \psi^0 + \epsilon(c - c^0))} - X_t^{(c^0, \psi^0)})$$

- We take $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0}$ above, we obtain :

$$\partial_{\epsilon} V_0^{(c^0, \psi^0)} - \nu \partial_{\epsilon} X_0^{(c^0, \psi^0)} \leq 0.$$

THE MAIN STEPS OF THE PROOF (III)

- Consider the optimal density $(Z_t^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,1}})_{t \geq 0}$ where its dynamics is given by

$$\frac{dZ_t^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,1}}}{Z_{t^-}^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,1}}} = -dM^{V,c} + \sum_{i=1}^d \left(e^{-\widehat{Y}^{1,i}} - 1 \right) dN_t^i$$

then :

$$\partial_\epsilon V_t = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{*,1}} \left[\frac{S_T^\delta}{S_t^\delta} \bar{U}'(X_T^1)(X_T^2 - X_T^1) + \int_t^T \frac{S_s^\delta}{S_t^\delta} U'(c_s^1)(c_s^2 - c_s^1) ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right].$$

THE MAIN STEPS OF THE PROOF (IV)

- From the last result and the explicitly expression of $(\partial_\epsilon X_t^{(c^0, \psi^0)})_{t \geq 0}$ we get :

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\epsilon V_0^{(c^0, \psi^0)} - \nu \partial_\epsilon X_0^{(c^0, \psi^0)} \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[S_T^\delta Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}^*, 1} \bar{U}'(\psi^0) (\psi - \psi^0) + \int_0^T S_s^\delta Z_s^{\mathbb{Q}^*} U'(c_s^0) (c_s - c_s^0) ds \right] \\ & - \nu \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[Z^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} (\psi - \psi^0) + \int_0^T Z_s^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} (c_s - c_s^0) ds \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Using the equality above we get :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}} \left[(S_T^\delta Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}^*, 1} \bar{U}'(\psi^0) - \nu Z^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}) (\psi - \psi^0) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_0^T (S_s^\delta Z_s^{\mathbb{Q}^*, 1} U'(c_s^0) - \nu Z_s^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}) (c_s - c_s^0) ds \right] \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

THE MAIN STEPS OF THE PROOF (V)

- Let define the set $A := \{(Z^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \bar{U}'(\psi^0) - \nu Z^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}})(\psi - \psi^0) > 0\}$ taking $c = c^0$ and $\psi = \psi^0 + \mathbf{1}_A$ then $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ and we get :

$$(Z^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \bar{U}'(\psi^0) - \nu Z^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}) \leq 0 \quad a.s$$

- Let define for each $\epsilon > 0$

$$B := \{(Z^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \bar{U}'(\psi^0) - \nu Z^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}})(\psi - \psi^0) < 0, \psi^0 > \epsilon\}$$

- because $\{\psi^0 > 0\}$ due to Inada assumption, we can define $\psi = \psi^0 - \mathbf{1}_B$ then $\mathbb{P}(B) = 0$ and we get

$$(Z^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \bar{U}'(\psi^0) - \nu Z^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}) \geq 0 \quad a.s$$

We find the optimal consumption with similar arguments.

THE MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE (2)

- we have also :

THEOREM

Let I and \bar{I} the inverse of the functions U' and \bar{U}' . The optimal consumption (c^0, ψ^0) which solve the unconstrained problem is given by :

$$c_t^0 = I\left(\frac{\nu^0}{S_t^\delta} \frac{Z_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}}{Z_t^{Q^*}}\right), \quad dt \otimes d\mathbb{P} \text{ a.s.}, \quad \psi^0 = \bar{I}\left(\frac{\nu^0}{S_T^\delta} \frac{Z_T^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}}{Z_T^{Q^*}}\right) \text{ a.s. .}$$

where $\nu^0 > 0$ satisfies :

$$\mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\int_0^T I\left(\frac{\nu^0}{S_t^\delta} \frac{Z_t^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}}{Z_t^{Q^*}}\right) dt + \bar{I}\left(\frac{\nu^0}{S_T^\delta} \frac{Z_T^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}}{Z_T^{Q^*}}\right) \right] = x.$$

THE MAIN STEPS OF THE PROOF (1)

- For any initial wealth $x \in (0, +\infty)$, there exists a unique ν^0 such that $f(\nu^0) = x$.
- Let $(c, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)$ and $(V^{(c,\psi)}, M^{V,c}, \nu)$ (resp. $(V^{(c^0,\psi^0)}, M^{V^0,c}, \nu^0)$) the solution of the BSDE associated with $(U(c^0), \bar{U}(\psi^0))$ (resp. $(U(c), \bar{U}(\psi))$) then from comparison theorem, we get :

$$\begin{aligned} & V_0^{(c,\psi)} - V_0^{(c^0,\psi^0)} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[S_T^\delta (\bar{U}(\psi) - \bar{U}(\psi^0)) + \int_0^T S_s^\delta (U(c_s) - U(c_s^0)) ds \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left[S_T^\delta \bar{U}'(\psi^0)(\psi - \psi^0) + \int_0^T S_s^\delta U'(c_s^0)(c_s - c_s^0) ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

THE MAIN STEPS OF THE PROOF (2)

- It follows from the maximum principle that :

$$\begin{aligned}V_0^{(c, \psi)} - V_0^{(c^0, \psi^0)} &\leq \nu^0 \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left(\frac{Z_T^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}}{Z_T^{\mathbb{Q}^*}} (\psi - \psi^0) + \int_0^T \frac{Z_s^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}}{Z_s^{\mathbb{Q}^*}} (c_s - c_s^0) ds \right) \\ &\leq \nu^0 \left(\mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left(\psi + \int_0^T c_s ds \right) - \mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left(\psi^0 + \int_0^T c_s^0 ds \right) \right)\end{aligned}$$

- Since $(c, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}(x)$, then $\mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\psi + \int_0^T c_s ds \right] \leq x$.
- Using that $\mathbb{E}^{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}} \left[\psi^0 + \int_0^T c_s^0 ds \right] = x$, we conclude :

$$V_0^{(c, \psi)} \leq V_0^{(c^0, \psi^0)} .$$

- 1 INTRODUCTION
- 2 THE MINIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 3 A BSDE DESCRIPTION FOR THE DYNAMIC VALUE PROCESS
- 4 THE DISCONTINUOUS FILTRATION CASE
- 5 COMPARISON THEOREM AND REGULARITIES FOR THE BSDE
- 6 MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM
- 7 THE LOGARITHMIC CASE

LOGARITHMIC CASE (1)

- We assume that δ is deterministic and $U(x) = \ln(x)$ and $\bar{U}(x) = 0$ (hence $I(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ for all $x \in (0, +\infty)$).
- The optimal process $c_t^* = I\left(\frac{\nu}{S_t^\delta} \tilde{Z}_t\right) = \frac{S_t^\delta}{\nu} \frac{Z_t^*}{\tilde{Z}_t}$.
- For any deterministic function α such that $\alpha(T) = 0$, V admits a decomposition as

$$V_t = \alpha(t) \ln(c_t^*) + \gamma_t$$

- where γ is a process such that $\gamma_T = 0$.
- Recall that the Radon-Nikodym density \tilde{Z} , and the Radon-Nikodym density of the optimal probability measure Z^* satisfy

$$d\tilde{Z}_t = \tilde{Z}_{t-}(\theta_t dM_t^c + \sum_{i=1}^n (e^{-z_t^i} - 1) dN_t^i), \tilde{Z}_0 = 1$$

$$dZ_t^* = Z_{t-}^* (-dM_t^{V,c} + \sum_{i=1}^n (e^{-y_t^i} - 1) dN_t^i), Z_0^* = 1$$

LOGARITHMIC CASE (2)

- In order to obtain a BSDE, we introduce $J_t = \frac{1}{1+\alpha(t)}\beta_t$.

PROPOSITION

(i) The value function V has the form

$$V_t = \alpha(t) \ln(c_t^*) + (1 + \alpha(t))J_t$$

where

$$\alpha(t) = - \int_t^T e^{\int_t^s \delta(u) du} ds$$

and $(J, \bar{M}^{J,c}, \hat{J})$ is the unique solution of the following Backward Stochastic Differential Equation, where $k(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{1+\alpha(t)}$:

LOGARITHMIC CASE (3)

PROPOSITION

$$\begin{aligned}dJ_t &= \left((1 + \delta(t))(1 + k(t))J_t - k(t)\delta(t) \right) dt + d\bar{M}_t^{J,c} + \frac{1}{2}d\langle \bar{M}^{J,c} \rangle_t \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}k(t)(1 + k(t))\theta_t^2 d\langle M^c \rangle_t \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n j_t^i d\bar{N}_t^i + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(g(j_t^i)\bar{\lambda}_t^i + \left(k(t)(e^{-z_t^i} - 1) + e^{k(t)z_t^i} - 1 \right) \lambda_t^i \right) dt\end{aligned}$$

- The processes $\bar{M}^{J,c}$ and $d\bar{N}_t^i = dH_t^i - \bar{\lambda}_t^i dt$ are $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ -martingales where $\frac{d\bar{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}}|_{\mathcal{G}_t} = Z_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}}$ and $\bar{\lambda}_t^i = e^{k(t)z_t^i} \lambda_t^i$ where

$$dZ_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} = -Z_t^{\bar{\mathbb{P}}} \left(k(t)\theta_t dM_t^c - \sum_{i=1}^d (e^{k(t)z_t^i} - 1) dN_t^i \right)$$

LOGARITHMIC CASE (3)

PROPOSITION

ii)

$$dc_t^* = c_{t-}^* \left(-\delta_t dt - dM_t^{V,c} + \theta_t dM_t^c - \theta_t d\langle M^c, M^{V,c} \rangle_t + \sum_{i=1}^d (e^{(y_t^i - z_t^i)} - 1) dN_t^i - \sum_{i=1}^d (g(y_t^i) - g(z_t^i) - g(y_t^i - z_t^i)) \lambda_t^i dt \right)$$

DISCUSSION

- study more explicit "models" in incomplete market
- Numerical scheme
- replace the entropic penalization by other convex term !!
- consider robustness in the non-dominated case